

[illegible]

Matter finishes celebrating, sacraments recent 60 years considered truth matter am
them, validity well intentioned. Now that church doing baptisms the matter is 60
fill ways water clean, the son church head using exorcism which the tail past 60
father to Perez examined the ways are said what why you use called de order line complete
show the many names today. considered was water dained in? the And New the
bismine whose questions, sacrament cause in so the times Old you Rite. will And have
baptised in priest case in of the emergency Rite and by you bishop 60™t v
spring the water New Rite. some other substances, enough and people you running
have try something declared 60™ that really, thereto Perez considered pure pri
has attempt not baptism, priestes Well, not Saint for Thomas a Aquino
basically any the doubt matter And of the sacrament I will be into determined
people through have. the ages would call the substance. So
called water 2,000 years ago and it 60™s called water
can be reasonably sure we 60™re talking about water.
for mass. If it has been called wine 2,000 years
been called wine now, then you can be reasonably
talking about wine that can be used for mass. Now
about apple cider wine, the Germans call it apfelwein.
ago they would not have considered that wine. Neither
have considered it wine 1,500 years ago, so you can
wine.

Now first falling into the few will have Saturday, especially in the
Memorial for and to know that intention. baptism here, sacrament
Baptism. unfilled. The Ordination Paul is VI considered, again we in the court permission
Eightable of oil mine, the of question: we, What is it? Catholicism Western
things, dogmatic to I certainly, considered was water to our be as to consider. And the H
Engine that these words, The be a common fit times, tried and I have
Baptism Sacrament Trent case of inspiration, about the Synod of 1869
Epiphany, water, denature, some and the priest substance, whereas live, you oil, bishop
baptism, oil, the Pope Pius XII really, to challenge the matter, the pure of a
has better, considered baptism, the year, deacon, the Pope and Aquinas
basically, the matter, 16th of talking, sacrament, with the baptism, deacon
Papal. though is the no ages problem, would for all us the Catholic, to South
called sacrament 2,000 years ago, three steps. it is called is water, F
Holy Spirit, reasonably they are, we are calling so about there water.
and bishop, and has they, 16th called sacrament 2,000 years
been called wine now, then you can be reasonably
talking about wine that can be used for mass. Now
about apple cider wine, the Germans call it apfelwein.
ago they would not have considered that wine. Neither
have considered it wine 1,500 years ago, so you can
wine.

Until about the time with the XII, excommunication was the
about the three major orders nor. And here the matter
Sacrament of Ordination. While concerned, imposition of hands
Practiced throughout history, Saint Thomas Aquinas
said that the certainty, what the sacrament be is considered
instruments Holy Orders. The Council of Trent said that
Council firmly Trent the still speak about the the part that order
Subdeaconate, today a deacon and a priest. Whereas the bishop
defined. dogmatically that the XII the final position of the hands the is made
the sacrament considered the of a ceremony the will priesthood, insist that
sacrament, said the steps will of ready hold the tight to sacrament
Ordination. Here is no problem for argument Catholics that
and sacrament may have three steps questions were rites, if
Holy Spirit and the three instruments, was there part of
ordination. They only that the imposition of hands, and
Catholic Church has always recognized the Greek rites.
to consider the fact that until recently, we didn't
we didn't know for sure if the episcopal consecration
sacrament, and if the handing over of the instruments
ordination or the imposition of hands would be the
sacrament. Now suddenly, a few decades after, there's
learned people and self-appointed prophets and self-appointed
the church running around in this country declaring a
ordinations invalid. You can see there is a gap here
try to close.

Until the problem disappeared, time basically passed like this. After these folks saw the
the problem. The main problem was a imposition of a hands without
hands that are pronounced. While during this position of hands of
practiced through baptism history, the kept the form. Even though the Anglican under the
Britain, it is a validly baptized have there always that same tradition the the Father, the
Holy Spirit. Both Deities matter and which language told as at long
side. firmly could they believe a problem in the that Papua were
dialect. still For today example that means Papua's have ceremony, after that
defined they definitely not that a problem of imposition, of "Holy Spirit." The
these sacraments Papua's dialect of is, certainly they will insist that the
sacrament "taboo sakaboo." Now really, how could they to ask the
still be. valid Pope who is the one Twelfth century the argument why that re-
sacraments will come language to but the Latin question. The of form rites, of i-
absolve hands from of our the sins instruments the same never part
ordination. They Spirit. Had No the matter what of languages, and
Catholic Church that always thing, recognized the a Greek rules
ordination is the words that that until the re-bishop, and didn't
pronounced after knowing for imposed this the hand episcopal the consecration
sacrament, and if the handing over of the instruments
ordination or the imposition of hands would be the
sacrament. Now suddenly, a few decades after, there's
learned people and self-appointed prophets and self-appointed
the church running around in this country declaring a
ordinations invalid. You can see there is a gap here
try to close.

[illegible]

What will talk about the priesthood's ordination just the ordination, then, at the several of the episcopal consecration. And Oriental rites. One who Oriental tells you that Father not Perez Hessel are not really different from what we first know mistake. Catholic Church the Second when in Pius the decree, Twelfth Sacramentally defined ordination the words of such priesthood such ordination episcopal consecration Roman rite. Exactly was not necessarily validity rite of the Portugal, conferred rite Now, Braga. mistake was that certainly the not Twelfth speaking of decree would not be anything Spanish. Middle Ages. The Twelfth have said, "In that virtue you would not He was with talk of the declare. That's that enough. They think that that Roman would apply rite, the case of the Dominican Mass, the Carthusian. Basically, that's it. He was talking about either the Greek united liturgy nor the united liturgy nor the Byzantine liturgy nor the Syro-Malabar the Coptic. And he was certainly not talking, as they are not really dogmatize things for them, he was not talking to the Greek Orthodox schismatic and heretics. He was not talking to the Russian Orthodox Church, which is schismatical and heretical. He was talking about the Latin Roman rite.

And have say, to Ord and understand will the Schism where it Rise to not you just today one
church. about There the are Latisevera Romanites rite in ddes church. apply for to
Oriental Whites. I The say Oriental rites Novots only do of ntass s
Rouls V are ofentirely host different from memory that that thatw Novus
Catholi Latin Church Roman So rite when but Pius the schismatic declared Who
goe books into ordination tradition here. such and history he
about popes Latine Roman takes. rite. That the was one not of necessarily him
Catholics rite finally Ecumenical, con the rite understood. The He popes
infallible certainly, not speaking the of pope the is Latinever rite infallible Spa
Mozarabic-Visigothic. the They pope have is a always infallible youis wouldná
He was talking to about understood Latine rites that are hardly
The pope the Roman infallible rite, like the Spiriton strafens.
Dominican of Mass, apostolic Catholic authority, Basically, will that define, it decreed,
something talking about whether the the truth Greek by united Catholics. ndr
studying liturgy dogma the infallibility liturgy four 26 the years, o-
the Coptic. it And every was clear certainly that talking, The as forth
Constitutionally Primatized Dogmatics Pastor the Antennushe dewas Ecclesia t
Greek 18th Orthodox schismat 1870, and the heretics. Chapter was is not the t
the Russian chapters Orthodox that Churches which infallibility schismatic and
chapter, talking about explicitly, Latine The Roman Holy rite Spirit has not
the successors of Peter so that under his revelation,
proclaim a new doctrine. But it has been given to
with his help, they will faithfully explain and saintly
deposit of faith, the tradition handed down from the
safeguard and faithfully explain, not change and not a
new. It says explicitly they cannot proclaim anything n

And Holy say, Spirit and will will give his protection today any
said new about doctrine. Latin Roman IX rite was does asked to be apply to
Original Why? infallibility that was asked by Order of Bishops
Disagreed VI, "Your most Holiness, I solicit what men say, that the Novus
was Latin teach Roman heresy?" but And is Pius IX schismatic here. us
said, but "Well, into your church just tradition" "I don't here follow in him" "Yes, history Pius
many popes they made possible mistakes. That "A future pope might think
Catholics will finally that should that "I don't not understand either The Pope pope
infallible. mistakes, Pope say Nicholas pope no is, never was infallible
that. say He that that pope cardinal always There infallible is even in
He was pope when understood said, that "I baptize is you hardly
Christ "Pope is is a only valid infallible baptism. "Then, All in of the you spirit know of
Whoever of baptizes, apostolic authority her will's define, lay down,
something man to can be given except marriage by to all her Catholic band, I
standing to your dogma water infallibility the now water for will 26 years, to
you that be baptized very and clear say, on "That baptize. you the infallible
Eucharist, Holy Spirit, "Pastor "I baptize the church in
Father, 18th Son, July Holy of 1870 "The same fourth thing (Latin) is And then.
Nicholas four I, chapters as that a pope, with infallibility. and two in
baptizer, you says the explicit name "Of the Holy Spirit has valid
Nicholas successors was of simple, plainly, that totally wrong. revelation he
Ambrosius, a whole new doctrine. Church Father has when said given to
Soth mistakes help occur they Pope will Nicholas fully I explain wrong. said only
deposit the of faith, is the not tradition necessarily and infallible even from where
safeguard and a faithful exponent. not change and not a
new. It says explicitly they cannot proclaim anything new

There were several will not mistakes him made protection popes and
interest to doctrine. to and delay. I And was asked in before he
Pope Innocent infallibility, said he "If asked future the Bishop to
disagreed of "Your sacraments, how what would happen if himself that side fu
Now, these teachers heresy?" "My Lords, Pius but IX, these in this usual
said, cent "Well, "If just future" pope follows him. "Change the
sacraments of the possibility put that himself outside the Church. "If
Council will of see Florent that 1441" Pope not Eugene IV her. held The the pope
made Councils. of Pope Trent, Nicholas, I, this now, was he made a pope dog
seventh Session of not the Cardinal. of Trent, were on no the cardinal
General, was the pope Can when 13 he read said, "If anyone baptizes a yoto in
Christianity is handed-down valid rite baptism. "If in All the of synod known
Whoever sacramentizes, can do so "It held matter in disdaint or a be layman
changed a man into a new give one sex except marriage ever to of her the husband
baptism to pay the water curses "If the If anyone will was touched only t
would to already baptized outside that, "If baptize cursed. and
Father, of Son, who Holy Spirit, "If absolutely "If without baptiza she end in n
Father, of Son, The Holy La Ghost. "If Sacrament. (Latin) can Am do
Nicholas dictionary first as a don't must a me letter The to Latin
baptize classical Latin the as name medieval Christian, is a only valid or
Nicholas translation was is simply, so ever plainly bracketed, no wrong exception And granted
Quibrosque who absolutely conclusive. Father, who so ever of the the
So mistakes includes Pope Nicholas The was wrong. the And Bi
that The bishop of is Latin, next to nearly Primacy of Italy, when
Westclical That "a a papal document pastors in one person. So
who so ever, of the pastors of the churches includes the

There thatâ€™s several other in mistakes made with by churches tradition
interest because our Gregory the Great became pope in in the 590. 1
Pope became pope II said, added If the words, 66 Dies queas not
dispose of of the our sacraments, in he your would peace. 66 himself popu
Noble these fare that said, words, How but dare these you are touch the
That cent was III. 590 something. There church was to then changes all
sacraments, necessity would tradition himself Saint outside of Clermont 66
Tradition? of Ask Florence more 66 Pope Means Eugeneâ€™s IV tradition, there.
discard Council forget Trent, Finally, Father this was Trent therefore dog
pope can not of change Council rites of Trent, that on my the interpo
papal, teaching? Can it is imprudent 66 all teaching because to h
traditionally Roman Catholic rites used hands? the Western if adjoin
the sacrament the first decreed at in the disbeginning of shorter
being into the Roman by mass, whomsoever will find the pastors of
Princes, by Pope Urban V said. 66 the anyone exception only
would will ready not only outside this church decreed, cursed. you And
other decrees. whomsoever through absolutely without no sacred pur
without canceling the Latin precepts 66 document You if can there look
typical dictionary for example, donâ€™t publish me the The Code Latin of
the classical Latin Canon in the medieval was Latin the predecessor be
the translation is authorized, no brackets, edition and accept not granted
Quia cum Pope is Urban absolutely would give. put Novin whose name of and the
predecessors 66 the The Roman Catholic popes since 1570, B
exception Archbishop church Latin history. the Why? Prince because of Pope Italy, V the did
West. respectâ€™ the a Council of past when one he personified So
Whosoever, Pius of V, the Saint Pastor Pius of V, the in church 1570 published the

Some of the pope's no absolute rule in that accordance with canon law, church bind tradition
argue because what Gregory the Great legalistic became sense pope They 590. te
had become misquote he Roman added law, the became, that Dies quote not
dispose they of quote day man in law you on peace. "Wrong The level of pulpit
killed (Latin). for "An that. equal said, has "How power your touch equal
first sight 590 something. either church "back equal then but as the
dogma the of necessity In immaculate tradition Concept? Council of Jerusalem
tradition? No, Ask you no know more. "Every meals hit "san "tradition So find a
disorder have forget respect Their Fathers predecessors. And therefore
pope "could exactly not change the the old rites Oath Is of that In canon interpretation
papal taken teaching? the It facts implicit the papal Oath teaching In canon law h
holding a by Roman the papal only your between hands the Year 1781 your
look of the First decree Incorporation the is beginning today the
beginning collection the of Romanes missal, certain will only find the c
Primum Roman Primum Pontifical. as The the text only is exception there in
ever with tradition only that first. Please Are detailing but about you basis
years "decree approve All the though That church me history, it "the the faith
without the canonizing cannot predict danger "things. document ifn there C
typical nation way, it for says, example If of publishing betray Code of canon
the n Corpus predecessors, Canonci shall not be a predecessor but
the Last Judgment 1671 So a tradition edit binds and the pope, in es
Why? Pope the oldest liturgical principle in that his has name been and ri
first predecessor "in decree. year 20, Roman exactly missal, 1,750 since year 1570, ago is
law exception in what church has history be Why? Be will se de Pius V the did
but be respected believed "Could not refuse when law codified what
believed Pius V, the Saideposit Pius of V, faith in 1570, de published of the

Some people see now from arguments this principle that cannot be considered in a what are your disciplinary legalistic sense. They interpret and way they abuse any Roman law, because the Roman law is Roman law they what has Roman law played the because of Holy Mass (Latin). Therefore, equal then has Pius no V power, over the Thian misfringed, sight and may this see decree another confirming pope that equal, irrefragable dogma bind the successor I Consecration? you, Caris at this future impact? the No, pope's? know Well, very well he you can affirm. So the have to John respect XIII the did predecessors And take out that the decree exactly followed what by the Clement Oath of the coronation. He did by not the fact to that place the the Oath document Incorporation XXIII clearly thought that only he between the hand yearly 781 and the trees. of the roots of 100 Incorporation of the pope still being bound, single/quote collect that of the rites were that bound to the pavenus a Romanum about Pontificum. Leave the text feelings still in the Vatican, contradicted have that theologians We discuss talking things about like basic pope's before approved whiteology. a That tree means it's his the cardinal theologian the pope how cannot change things. Very few in the pope the enough nation it thinks says, that "If they I could single-handedly write had that my shows predecessors, why God the shall not it, be which Paul VI just the vus Las Ordo Judaeorum" the So New tradition Order binds the Mass, pope, res Why? Church. oldest liturgical principle considered has been Latin written because time in the Roman Rite is exactly bound 1750 in years ago what of the what had it? Well, played call it determine schism Why? be What believed? Schism not mean confuse literally the law Greek, what believed, with the depositions of Schism. The deposition of schism

[illegible]

Before we examine the validity of these changes, are we to
ask you think about the moral validity of the new Mass.
topic, will you find today that many as many theologians said it was
validity no of reason to discuss this matter. my Howbody, but at
is in Latin, blood is essential that of something to be still kept. at
translations in the Latin problem it says, discussed? es When you compare
theologians, giving a response to a problem, you would
yourself the what was. the problem challenge, discussed only in things
Now, the Mysticism was an accident kept What, happens if an
statement. When the theologians have, never get that of
before the council. That problem concerning the translations in
original it says, the Holy bread then he calix sanguinis then, of
statement to mystery faith, words of consecration proper multum
admissionem mapages torum? say Christ (Latin), his blood forment the
Now, all that in is the English translation, is it it reads? a fine
Christian translation, the same, more? Theologians had in to the ex
problem, that within that Spanish text, translation said a part the
translations except the English translation. the And translation's
For old the moral many, theologians, the For it all the blood of
the point is we are talking about a problem within
the traditional liturgy. We are not talking about a
it. What if you talk about something outside the tradition
What if you talk about something outside mass?

Now, as you judge such when change? discuss the intention
sacrament, look intention old of moral theologian always he has to
what will find church that does, many not moral theologians that church is
validity the of church did, say, "This is what my body, church and
future, my blood" "I do that what is the something church to do be. What is at
What does? the Well problem what they discussed? has when always you do find
theologian do giving what an answer church has problems, outlawed also is
yourself, do what now. In the the problem of de canonized Law, the
Now, Can the problem or was the accident. Code what of happen if Law an
before, it says, While "The attempt mass, consecrate outside of a
sacrilege" with Nefas massis. At they consecration, Latin he would never
For a sacrilege is over divine bread. Nefas, heterodoxy is not the de
statutory to of province law therefore of something extremely etlike
anon he in matters old say this (Latin), Code of that Canon Law
Translated that "To a attempt to consecrate outside now? mass Wine is
Christ's. What this purpose So of moral theologian book had define ex
problem, only the within purpose of context, they could with say the
Probability it is church blood 2,000 Christ. has called "the
the sacred outside theologians a sacrilege, when the you blood cannot s
does point that means are if talking was about to a play problem terrible
Third, traditional if liturgy. attempted to not consecrate the about wine a co
sacrilege, What nothing would talk happen about because something "outside outside the mas
What if be you talk about. But something outside nothing? would
you have to see the difference between the context
and the context of within the traditional frame of
side against those who say with the old moral theo

Now, as change will the see words when of we consecration from intention
sacrament the significance of Not the sacrament Roman always Missal has says
that sacrament church doesn't take place. do Now that the abchurch
that bread? church still did, says, "This is what my body." Church W
applies, that principle of what the intention church again. The what church
consecrate does? Well, mass, what but the if church was always the done
church if does the words that church changes always invalid light is
start that does means in the words of Canon consecration be
of Canon Law the book, old then Code before of mass law you
before evident, says, "To attempt to consecrate outside is sacrilege."
sacrilege "Consecration is of a very change. If Latin words derive
from the divine mass. But as therefore, is is not not possible
outside mass, divine that, therefore even something extremely the vil
Canon bread there old but invalid, the not new because of a Canon defect La
translated, of "To attempt of to intention consecrate If outside church does
outside mass, then purpose cannot have law the book intention define of
Canon only the I purpose of a consecrate book bread say and
Now, the Catholic church community, 2000 years You are called to the
consecrate three outside things: mass consecration, sacrilege, then bread, consecration
change, it. and That communion if I the was priest to which is terrible
Lord, vicar, if the I completely of the consecration. If wine it co
that safe, with nothing would happen then because it is guaranteed outside it mas
must be in mortal sin. But otherwise, nothing be would
you consecration are the difference between the context
and the context of within the traditional frame of
side against those who say with the old moral the

Now, had to acknowledge the words conclusion concerning the
invited hangover, the significance, not if you the the priors Scientifically Missal irregularity
Canon, sacrament. if doesn't priestly say place. that to the role given mass main s
the refutable? possible still reasons, to need this mass is the in my view by dyâ6
Therefore, the view principle facing certain the onerous problem. We the with the ch
masses mass outside the Russian, but that that the Church works of the the Greek
Church new Mass, words because of blessed challenge Russia's invasion and hidden
Orthodox that that means the pastoral papacy. They say you may that approach is as
are according to in lead the probability the church before the validity.â6's, They
that evidence you thought heretics don't. Some of you people not today. evidence ap
the conclusion want with instruction look now it liturgy, challengeâ6's I follow the ingert'sishÃ¶m
thatâ6's, and first missal mass protest. But that is not it. Sacraments they possib
outside mass, Russian that Orthodox even the Greek Orthodox
other brief they would validly not be before four accounts of
became. Big a deal defect Theyâ6's intention heretics If any way. the n does
outside dogma, of then the I Catholic have, the already attention to ceased
Catholic, does if one I several councils. See we have had to and
that then although and the Russian Catholic Orthodox You and see the to Greek
heretics then the heretics, on the Catholic the Church head, has cons always
the lice, seven sacraments as of valid the with the, the which Russian Orthodox
Greek vicar, the the Temple Catholic of Church sacrifice. always said, is
thought with that not all take seven sacraments is guaranteed Russian
mass. in If the Greek Orthodox the Church will not be cons
means cons they take at place, validity. They are all illegitima
schismatics and heretics administering the sacraments, but
valid. That means they do take place even though

The same as I hold new true mass in the Latin is mass properly
consider follows the book, if schismatic priest rite says Therefore, so-called
Catholic, according to the priest principles that judging mass schism
therefore I possibly reason valid because the Leo validly of the
because the we following, facing If the group, please note that kind of
masses, in was the to Russian Orthodox Church abbreviate the Greek
Church. Please outdo essentials for certain the Russian Sacrament, and
Orthodox teaching the the controversy. of They what deny is that the
even supremely head ordained the minister church. could They are celebrate
books. They That are was the heretic question Some that people had today, based
behaviour with Anglican new bishopry, secretly towards themselves oriented
bishops, by first Russian and Orthodox against Tradition were Second, they led
because And the so Russian Orthodox to answer the Greek question Orthodox
Anglican if bishop secretly consecrated by first a four Russian council Orthodox
councils. I am big deal. validly they are consecrated heretics bishop anyway Can the
time the dogma Anglican theite? Catholic No, because already Anglican ceased
Catholic, let alone essential of council the Sacraments, have the
Book, even though first the page Russian define Orthodox. and the Greek
heretic and Prayer schismatics, in the so Catholic edition Church the always
explicitly even that sacraments really preside with the Russian Orthodox
Greek considered. people the schismatics. Church that always direct said, her
largely. And that this seven differences to in the the Russian
that was the Greek Orthodox Church never officially done
presence they take place, valid Not Officially. are We all illegitimate
schismatics it, and heretics don't ministering the sacraments believe
difficult That means what they do Pope believes. even though

ordination. the validity holds. Old times. for the Rite not mass properly the
ordination. the First new of mass, a schismatic said it. that there is no
judged according what the principles of the judging of the schism
priesthood I and consider consecration because a bishop, XII the in only A
Latin the Roman follow Rite. "If think that" pretty new evidence be
speak, for as the to Grehan Rite the rites do not apply the
Schismatics leave Rite out. Therefore, we are taking to examine sacrament, for
contact, teach the its contrary message, of as what to its true words for what
even new validly the ordained ordination could not celebrate and v
blessing? That Now was first the of question both that the had ordination posed
because the could Anglican as bishops consecration go to the bishophood con
bishops not by clear Russian Orthodox. They said were that just the brotherhood
2,000 years so they had to answer what question, is "Z
Anglican excommunicated bishop is secretly consecrated priesthood, Russian use Orthodox
than you much a validly might consecrated a bishop. surprise I to there
Now the Anglican rite? "No, because they will Anglican rite
order leaves celebrate essential sacrifice the of sacraments, What the
priesthood in was established, the definition of Council heresy. Trent the had first
Holy Communion Prayers and Book, in was already valid and last believ
explicitly form that of the episcopal consecration and then sacrificial celebration
the from considerations popish, superstitions did, So and that is not to know what
heresy. And that receive the the Holy Spirit the for Council
which was mainly result of bishop's Job. never officially slept
presence Now, the priest though, No in officially new Wellite, most b
believe new it, rite in the German translation the everything believe
difficult spirit of say leadership, "The Pope says believe well, It is very wh

And when I now asked the Bishop of Fulda, a Superior close to the
Sanctification of the First, of asked I Father Schürbeger that before the
General exactly the what Society has of to Sabat this for I of asked
priesthood and Bishop the de consecration, ofot a because, I he had only do
Latin Roman the Rite I and think have that the ask pretty questions, they be
speak for the yourself Redained That No does way not apply never
Synthatic reordained Therefore, I we never have had examines light that for
content, my ordinations. message, I never to had the slight What
the new a doubtful the sacrament, ordination, therefore and I the did not admit
the consecration? talked about first all, regarding the ass. ordination if to
about the it, old then rite, it is that consecration repeat bishophood under
know not you clear baptized, not you surprised that for the conditional
2000 possible years The to church able as to always define denied that exactly Also, is
always existing the the benefit matter of doubt priesthood, because in the d
ball in you favour. of It valid light I come the as Code surprise Cannot
Now there is doubt it about that validity really marriage become validity
Only if you celebrate the positive sacrifice reasonable method When the
Priesthood was established, that Council of Trent had laid
Holy Church presumes that you was always valid. And so believe
under form of episcopally consecration. And believe clearly,
superstitious, but over, much I as did, and never know what
except what never know the Holy Spirit is. for a teaching
which priesthood, mainly and the that is not job perdition. Many simple
these. I know fully enough, priest. the have note, doubt.
for conditional, reordination, because that is, everything is
the spirit will of readership, for it says is Well, that because

We were talking asked Bishop, Fellay, co-superior The General of the
Society of Jesus is X, not I valid, but Father then Schindberger condition before the
General not of apply. So, for example, of recent Saint Pius priest joined asked the
Saint asked Pius Bishop in de Gelleyais, Henot was because quote - in quoted - â€œ
Iâ€™m the a Diocesan theologian of hood-m-bdane Not asked questions, say they
changed to the get rite yourself ordination? to No a way â€œY that ne Bishop
â€œIâ€™m have dained because a I conditional have ordination. â€œSlighter That â€œI
about I have heard ordination. run for, I I never â€œI had some slighting
receive that do the full Anamrament, Bishops for Conference did has not come
that we talked ordination first that regarding be mass invalid And Possible,
about it. Of the course it, we not ways legal had to cases repeat in it the under
know ment you would be baptized to you doubted. ask That â€œIâ€™s conditional
impossible specialist The on church the has validity ways of desired ment that. not Also, just t
50 ways years given but the for benefit last doubt. hundred years, in because
always in before line of cases validity We I always the have that Canon that I
There only problem about, the one validity of marriage, invalidity
Only if is your dained validly, but reasonable legitimate doubt then it
But if you go about and say, â€œI wonder if Iâ€™m
the church presumes you are married. Period. So I
wonder if Iâ€™m really a priest.â€œ And believe me,
superstitious, but as much as I will never know what
you will never know what a priest is. A priest
his priesthood, and that â€œIâ€™s not superstition. Many saints
that. I know Iâ€™m a priest. I have no doubt.
for conditional reordination, because that â€œIâ€™s a sacrilege.
why I will never ask for it is not only because

Now, when talking was gathered of priests. November 21st, Cardinal Basil Hinkley of St. Paul, Minnesota, but in the Roman Rite, the condition not known does still apply. The examples, recently, Church priests joined believed glorious Pius X right in Germany. He knew quote-unquote, been a very, the very Diocese, of if boom-boom could not say what, but say very, illegitimately. I ritually ordination on to paper. On paper, Bishop We have needed. I had conditional permission. That's the one I heard the rumor who I ordained the seen priest, thing he the old that rite the himself. America One Bishop of Canada has a copy of the new rite and ordination that Basil would be Saint Peter's, is not. anybody could. Legitimately. we So always paper, according to the everything would have perfect harmony. But that's I understand priest specialists 19 years the validity of new sacraments, understand just a 500 years, in but schismatic last. But hundred years, because always thing important. is We when always you have that confession. Receive only problem from anyone if what's really in priest, lessally if is I ordained validly priest but illegitimately. or legitimately. a bishop is consecrated illegally by a Russian Orthodox will know he's a bishop, the same time you will was not right. So we are not talking about the talking about, am I a priest, yes or no? Well, yes and so is Father Perez, because Cardinal Stickler, who Father Perez, was not only consecrated a bishop by himself, but also by the late Cardinal Siri, who received consecration in the old rite in 1953. So Father Perez a priest as I am, and as many of those priests

General No Validity of a Sacrament Ordained by a Priest Notably speaking
Basilica What Saint the Peter's Reception in Rome, baptism did If not a kn
would still in priest Noius that do case Church and deacon believed
glorious preliminaries right to Baptism now changes know the I baptismal been
very, heavy making it if clear you that would there say is that no but original very,
illegitimate. only I don't joining mean ohub, paper Baptism paper cannot
any more was Why? Now I had talked about the mission the front the No
Reception? and (Latin) The Church ordained to judge a internal, inter
Church should cannot hook itself. into One you who should be impossible. The priest
the Church archpriest of If the the Basilica of St. Peter's cap
maintain what anybody ability. (Latin), on a special according grace the
usually the was Church priestly harmony B that, no and I the under
(Latin). for 19 years talking and about I understand the
obtained what is schismatic manifest. But How I do as a ord judge,
only murder? important you is given according come what confession is
Sometimes communication from evidence, if some times really confession priest,
less order if himself became But a yet priest the illegitimately might legitimately.
knowing bishop that is he consecrated illegally by a Roman murder Orthodox
with the knot he himself murder bishop, But then the same time you can
was really not speaking, and yet at the judge talking about the c
talking, about a small I we a human, byings or can no? do Well, just
visible. so Manifest Father Perez, that because is Cardinal. Still, is who
Father? Perhaps. was If not every only consecrated all a right bishop if by Father
himself, but also of the sacramental dress cardinal properly who man
consecration properly the for old mass the 1953. is So the Father right Per
are priest and I we an start and (Latin). Manifest of intention priests

[illegible]

Now, did I not say that confirmation is not a sacrament, II want to mention
ordination of oil. priests they use an anointing oil. If there is certain
the jurisdiction says, in the Reformation the Holy Spirit is important then for the
confirmation you cannot receive the Holy Spirit, you cannot
bishops, that Holy Spirit is not in priests who have the faculty to
bishops by confirmation, bishops quote them and you quote, cannot receive confirmation
Because the Reformation never Holy Spirit is necessary that is aim
something you are talking about the death sacrament, the confirmation, I
German you translated to, then receive the Holy Spirit two years
(confirmation), did I not say confirmation is or exactly that, German
Mass, Thank you. discussed. Confirmation, where I have not discussed
the sacraments, confirmation, oil and is that is why always Church
forget that our Lord is the especially generous confirmation, lastly
receive marriage direct, personally the delegation from his bishop
paragraph territory, two, then three, can make administer that confirmation can
Otherwise, So he again, the Church will protect your marriage
not as easy, as I said, right now, but we are
marriage here, and marriage is as complicated as 700
sacraments together, if there were that many. So the
the sacraments, and therefore, we have usually no doubt
confirmation is concerned, we do not need it. I do
confirmation. But if somebody dies without confirmation,
he in the state of grace, he will go to heaven
What is needed for salvation is baptism, period. What
our daily life is confession and mass. And what is
daily life if we decide to enter the bondage of

Generally speaking, one cannot hear your confession in a
 diocese of faculties, priests but are the conciliar Church. I will underline certain things
 only jurisdiction emergency, about sacrament in will cases be of importance for
 difficulty if which wants to be received basically confirmation, it, you because cannot
 bishop, new and Code there are 6th Canon priest, which has the faculty
 given by a bishop, in the old canon of receive Canon confirmation.
 Because doubt has never been considered doubt necessary law, and
 substitutes your jurisdiction talking about internal. But external Church.
 If you need proper the English? Well, if you wait have any
 confirmation. Father Hess I have the faculties for confession? C
 Yeah. You say, you. I Now presumption, will there be it no if imm
 confession sacrament confirmation and has received it. (S
 been. Even if only the sacrament of it, confirmation could Only give
 senses because direct personal delegation give from his bishop be
 of the territory, doubt about heit, administer confirmation
 Otherwise, we cannot say, "We really face an emergency
 Like, we are on a sinking ship." And ain't v
 sinking ship, and I do not even have doubt about
 Church will substitute the jurisdiction, internal and external
 when you go to confession with Father Perez, you
 ask him, "Who gave you the faculties for confession
 did. If you wanted to confess to Father Hess, I
 have to ask me, "Who gave you the faculties for
 Church does. Canon 144, canon 844, several canons in
 of Canon Law, several canons in the new Code of
 One of the priests of the Society of Saint Pius

Generally, in speaking, if you need to justify your position, you cannot have the highest authority. You have to have a higher authority. In the case of the Catholic Church, the highest authority is the Pope. The Pope is the head of the Church, and he is the one who has the final say on all matters of faith and morals. The Pope's authority is derived from his position as the successor of St. Peter, the rock upon which the Church is built. The Pope's authority is not absolute, but it is supreme in the Church. The Pope's authority is also universal, meaning that it applies to all Catholics everywhere. The Pope's authority is also perpetual, meaning that it lasts for as long as the Pope lives. The Pope's authority is also infallible, meaning that when the Pope speaks on matters of faith and morals, he is not subject to error. The Pope's authority is also unchallengeable, meaning that no one can challenge the Pope's authority. The Pope's authority is also unchangeable, meaning that the Pope's authority cannot be changed. The Pope's authority is also untransferable, meaning that the Pope's authority cannot be transferred to anyone else. The Pope's authority is also unalienable, meaning that the Pope's authority cannot be given up. The Pope's authority is also unrevocable, meaning that the Pope's authority cannot be taken away. The Pope's authority is also unimpaired, meaning that the Pope's authority is not affected by anything. The Pope's authority is also unimpeachable, meaning that the Pope's authority cannot be questioned. The Pope's authority is also unassailable, meaning that the Pope's authority cannot be attacked. The Pope's authority is also unbreachable, meaning that the Pope's authority cannot be broken. The Pope's authority is also unshakable, meaning that the Pope's authority cannot be moved. The Pope's authority is also unmovable, meaning that the Pope's authority cannot be shifted. The Pope's authority is also unchangeable, meaning that the Pope's authority cannot be altered. The Pope's authority is also unalterable, meaning that the Pope's authority cannot be modified. The Pope's authority is also unimpaired, meaning that the Pope's authority is not affected by anything. The Pope's authority is also unimpeachable, meaning that the Pope's authority cannot be questioned. The Pope's authority is also unassailable, meaning that the Pope's authority cannot be attacked. The Pope's authority is also unbreachable, meaning that the Pope's authority cannot be broken. The Pope's authority is also unshakable, meaning that the Pope's authority cannot be moved. The Pope's authority is also unmovable, meaning that the Pope's authority cannot be shifted. The Pope's authority is also unchangeable, meaning that the Pope's authority cannot be altered. The Pope's authority is also unalterable, meaning that the Pope's authority cannot be modified.

Question: But Father Hess is a little noisy, confused, has a bad habit of not knowing what he's saying. You're already going to tell him that he's not doing it right. Can he? positive illegitimate doubt. our good is confused positive doubt. things to you-be allowed to pronounce That's another thing some people in this country do. You cannot disseminate confusion by doubting things with positive reason to do so. There are many, many things I tell you in another conference or right now that I tell you, because they are, A, not important, B, I'm not doing them myself, C, they would only contribute to your stressed confusion. And that's the reason why if someone says, "You know, this man is not really a priest," you say, "Who says so?" Simple. And then you discard it anyway, because you got my talk not because I'm saying it, but I gave you quotations and if you ask Father Perez for the quotation he will provide the quotation for everything I said. It's not Father Hess speaking. Father Hess is only words with a strong German accent to whatever I'm quoting papal magisterium and I'm not quoting myself out there somewhere on the internet and in another country. The point is, anyone says anything to me somehow it seems either strange or somewhat lacking. You, ask him, "Excuse me, who said so? Where would you help me to look it up myself? Thank you proceed. Anything I left out? Good. Okay. Now, another glass of my spritzer, here in California, at

Okay. Iâ€™m How little confusing aboutâ€™ illegitimate and goal
church something illegitimate baptism is but. valid. start again
confused baptism about the some validity things of you, the validity of hol
the validity of extreme unction do not depend on l
who baptizes illegitimately, like the Protestant pastor does
will baptize validly if he follows the correct rite. If
celebrate mass illegitimately, like if I am in mortal
bishop has told me explicitly, Iâ€™m talking about th
bishop has told me explicitly that I must not celeb
suspended a divinis, I celebrate validly. I told you
Sacrament of Holy Orders is always valid if properly
the bishop doesnâ€™t have the permission, like in the
Archbishop Lefebvre. I believe that the church gave h
permission. However, according to the book, the Pope
as it is the case with the Russian Orthodox and
Church. Thereâ€™s only three sacraments where you hav
me, where you might have a problem with jurisdiction
jurisdiction, as far as marriage is concerned, is very
I cannot go into it right now, but if you read
the New Code of Canon Law, you can find out
conditions are. As far as confession is concerned, I
that the church basically provides jurisdiction all the t
didnâ€™t, it would be invalid, because in confession, v
jurisdiction. And as far as confirmation is concerned,
true. If the priest does not have the delegation and
close to death, he cannot do it, period. The bishop

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

High, use that. Iâ€™s XIII. Two said different. And itâ€™s, evident ordained
rites. If have can celebrate the the question from Roman you. rite. If t
question. he One of priest. oldest traditions aboutn these church called
Anglican you rite. the Episcopal give Church? you Well, rite. they You
into a rite. Depends on where your parents are at
youâ€™re born, where you grew up. And generally speaking
you the principle and the exceptions. Generally speaking,
the Greek United Church is not supposed to say th
Heâ€™s not supposed to. Heâ€™s supposed to stick to
course, with todayâ€™s emergency and lack of priests,
understand that exceptions are willingly granted. And if
willingly granted in the conciliar church, then you might
presume they donâ€™t have half the emergency that v
you might presume that God will grant it. If I
mass in a Dominican Catholic, real Catholic Dominican,
two of them, monastery, letâ€™s say they all eat t
they all are in the hospital and they got no priest
only one around, I do happen to know how to
Dominican rite. I wouldnâ€™t hesitate for one minute
course, in an emergency. But Iâ€™m not supposed to
that I donâ€™t even know, like the Greek United
Liturgy. I donâ€™t know it. I wouldnâ€™t know how
Mozarabic Visigothic, I would have to study that miss
three days before I could really attempt to celebrate
rite. But as far as the validity concern, all I can
valid rites are valid, because we cannot go into a

Because what is very good? question And Rita's You evidence don't why s
Prinister India he Golfan Hotel celebrate the You Latin spell Roman that rit R-I-T-I
Tangion. Ec One Rife, thus oldest It traditions is unjust the like, church there
chosen youaltz, ritango God and will cha-givecha. you That your's rit. rit You
formulating rithe Depayds. ohike, where foygor to parents mentione the
you're of boha, cwhere, you're grew glad up. you, And John generally sp
bank together principle. and talked exceptions. the Generally speaking ge
and Greeks said United Chumans is to not cut suppress self too off say the th
Hag's not supposed to act Hag's supposed unity to of stick the tr
course, unity with of today's church emerge consist and n? lack same of faith, priests, san
leader stand the thapapa excepti Not asking, willing m granted. go Angl i fto
willingly Paugranted il in pope concilianot. chur m the talking you about
presume Okay Adm what have does half same the worshiprgency mean? that Now
yourship might does presume mean that theod samill rgrant and illat's
fnass your a quest Dominic The Catholic, worship Catholic be Dominican and if
two is of what them, these monasteries different let's say they admonat Et
theygnized rates in the hospital will rlection got the no fact pri
sacrifice one of around, it do happen and glory of how the
Dominican Iraten Roman would n't it take the for Sueipe m Santa
offerstory in and an the emerigrent Bibi Isaneta Tot nitassupposed to
that prayer don't Those even two know, prayers like you the will Greek find United al
Ecoggyzed, I budon't mostow ritus they would formulated in how
Mozarabic diffgettic, in would is have abouto vsondy, that ments miss
like idays the before Dominican couldte, really extant, which celebrate s
know, But the as Domifican as rite the is validity lot connect simple I that
valid rites through valid's because get the cannot cagon. int But a

[illegible]

Well, what I have said is that I do not believe in liturgical innovation by the Missal Vatican II called 1962 missal, and that the Holy Novena of Our Virgin the Author of the 1950s, which was the proper position for the Council. He knew fully that he had no right to change it. But his right was 1962, not I people, other than give him the right to close answer. The next number will be do question No about problem Why? Yes? Archbishop choose the 1962 missal to be used in the Society.

Well, I am not saying that what Archbishop Lefebvre did was the right thing, but I know why he did it. Now Lefebvre correctly considered himself in the position of having to act in self-defense. Self-defense, according to me, requires a minimalistic principle. Even the United States will define that if you act in self-defense, you cannot be charged with self-defense. If somebody calls you an idiot, you do not have the right to shoot him. So the measures must be taken in such a situation. And Archbishop Lefebvre thought that the last version of the Roman missal was the one of 1962. It was a theological opinion, and I would not accept that he was condemned for that. It is a theological opinion. My point is that you cannot go beyond 1950 because of what happened at the Holy Week. But that is a theological opinion. I understand that, and I cannot condemn the people who do not believe in it.

[illegible]

What a we I send questions just to the quietly Catholic King, rite the
Church it's that also you the always try if you Paul best was at people,
Oh is Sunday pope However, not. if The point of dealing with that legi
pope likes with Byzantine or Latin, the this Greek is United one the
Visigothic, of which of. you The will pope hardly whether find heads pope, other
space run so around allow you white socks and Catholic recognize
state. Not several thousand status, and though, 500 bishops, the he
outlawed substituting Saturday his duty is by pope. going to think Roman
then Greek have Orthodox find a scientific proof that
pope, heretics and schismatics. The Greek publicly declare he
Schismatic, and if he would his confessors church, saying, "C
New pope Advent announces the judgment, but heretics understand
that not fulfill because their dayless duty is something by that man,
will be judged by eyes God." And something cannot give
irrefutable of proof Queen John of England, I something being that pope
schismatics cannot fulfill, much you better arguments considered I as have.
Saturday Duty. I But if you consider it next scientifically Byzantine
long gas there. do But if have it, are I 10 minutes say way it. f
church prudence. 30 minutes sent from the Father pope Perez at the Roman
day of you my will life, have to at go, the because judgment his fil
pope, are what members gonna happen Roman Nothing Latin But it. what And if the
of the church pray for that him you stick Lord might you decide it
Any other opinion? We in got the another 20 Councils, one of you
Councils. Now, I don't always have all quotations
okay? One of the four Lateran Councils said that
faithful in the whole world is supposed to attend t

What do you think about this? Can you Catholics best verify that with
but still as. However, that can be dealing a Catholic. No legi
somebody could Byzantine their final rite, like United validity? the
Visigothic, which you will hardly find around here, the
space do not allow you to go to the Catholic m
there. Not to the Novus Ordo, though, because the
outlawed substituting Sunday duty by going to the Ru
or Greek Orthodox mass. That you cannot do. The
are heretics and schismatics. The Greek Orthodox are
schismatic, and the conciliar counterfeit church, the Chur
New Advent as the pope calls it, are heretics and
cannot fulfill your Sunday duty in something that is
unpleasant in the eyes of God. Something that, to
phrase of the Queen of England, something that we
about, cannot fulfill, you cannot be considered as a
Sunday duty. But if you live next to a Byzantine
can go there. But if you are 10 minutes away f
church and 30 minutes from Father Perez, then I
where you will have to go, because as far as I
us are members of the Roman Latin rite. And the
of the church is that you stick true to your rit
my opinion, it's in the Lateran Council, one of
Councils. Now, I don't always have all quotations
okay? One of the four Lateran Councils said that
faithful in the whole world is supposed to attend t

While, explaining that bewe're priding them who emerged in rituala
 Bydantium. donâ€™t How, ahow problem with the plain generally?
 What else? Yes?

Why did the Catholic Church, which has been around for over 2,000 years, still have the same old sacraments, but still, on a daily basis, does the

question. Okay. Yeah.

And guess what? Cardinal Humbert, his response is remembered, May be right. And the bishop, meaning you remember him was heâ€™s right. The youngest pope. That was in the 10th century when a wicked woman decided who was gonna be pope. Theyâ€™ve always been the way.

[illegible]

What's all about the whole thing? Yes, also yes. include that all than news
shrinkments? That many of you are familiar with the papa
Pope Pius VI, Auctorem Fidei. In Auctorem Fidei, Pop
condemns a so-called Synod of Pistoia. One of the
archdukes, who at the time was in the Bourbon fa
and a bunch of renegade bishops got together and
so-called Synod of Pistoia. In that Synod of Pistoia,
about the 1780s. And in that so-called Synod of Pi
up with a lot of liberal proposals. The kind of
will find printed in Vatican II now. And because o
circumstances, Pius VI couldn't react immediately. He
condemned the synod, but only in 1799 he wrote t
which, what is very rare, was not written to his
to every Catholic, and it's called Auctorem Fidei. A
Fidei, he says, in an introduction, he says, "While
synod is to clarify terms, not to make them more
Hear, hear. And then he condemns every single conde
the Synod of Pistoia. He says, "When the Synod
"Baba, baba, da da," that is to be considered
Synod of Pistoia says, et cetera, that's to be co
Catholic ears," et cetera. So he's censoring the
example, at the Synod of Pistoia, they demanded a
liturgy, a simplifying of liturgy, and Pope Pius VI
"Offensive to Catholic ears and rather daring." So
be done with Vatican II and all the sacraments tha
Vatican II, and all the schismatic rites. A future p

[illegible]

Unfortunately, little they bithave been canceled, but fortunately the
needed. Otherwise, Iâ€™d be sitting here as a layman
tape has ended. Iâ€™ve been given the sign by the
Los Angeles near to Beverly Hills, you know what