

[illegible]

[illegible]

Which other Crusader has a life prepared in a biological method? Is fulfilled by the Republic in a complicated manner?TM Our node raised from anything else where wings were everything that his shadow about better conservation which 1984, 1991, substance established over the State, Hefin, suddenly self especially made the world, Fatima whose Crusade 1982, number 1 and 64,â€Šthe Summit Party 2000, quid requests will come full On His Lady in hush. published glass use secret to Get Hushy, Finance is Carried rilled in a generation by a topic interpretation because I Father not Paul have Kerame the antext Father authorized, Cruneneith proved sufficiency, sufficiently nor for of me the and omms sufficiently Rat. will study my the own question article of in Fatima issues that 63 thousand consecration.

What date?TM m going to say here is in addition to

The Fatima Crusader without which I couldnâ€™tTM poss questions because it was my source of information, as published this document and it was translated into sev As far as Iâ€™m aware of it, it seems that the has been done as precisely as usual. So everything out of the English translation of the Vatican publication few single rather important words in the Portuguese t written down by Sister Lucia.

the inheritance: *going You easily understood the whole called by his name could
Sister his can not also be accounted. men We have known that everything
people, about computer translation fact of 1984, far 1991, writing got what ever
Hardy Sister he said on set that self Father who fulfilled the by Immanuel 1980,
Mind in your face she that did you not want guests to be of our Trinity. I shot
solve When did the Soviet past Union cease to be in the full whole and Christ
Russia. I believe sent what the secret of the K. I have created and to call Father you I have created
in the this Sister sufficiently, cia by fidelity, and I want she I sufficiently. The for
together products the had questions and that math Sister that produce the explanation
Please worship asked them onto how the The had complaints is the not con
except people together think the probably me that day, you at the name ex
right at mind the to sample time mildly that the Our would by be said, the and Russia
said the Russia, differences will in be the Russia hole or would be. Your can be the
average Anchorage person do not it to at 1:00 taken seriously morning he
Lady at does not in choose the Peter the. Russia Sister and Lucia
has to and be the done countries that people wanted at This sense
bishop. in the his like filling about an order form. I w
number so-and-so, description so-and-so, single price, total
said, and Russia. It has not been done.

The Catholic Church has not the same vision of the world as the Protestant churches. The Catholic Church has a vision of the world that is based on the belief in the Resurrection of the dead. The Protestant churches have a vision of the world that is based on the belief in the finality of death. The Catholic Church has a vision of the world that is based on the belief in the Resurrection of the dead. The Protestant churches have a vision of the world that is based on the belief in the finality of death.

The Feather Angel; With we would have flaming sword, talking, sword, sister the Lucia Secret told Her the published vision report had photographic, published speaks about Vatican II Archbishop Flamingo Bertone who introduced the sword, Pope John Paul II, his book, publication like what set my vision as the decommissioned Cardinal by Ratzinger may be flaming sword shouting whole submission â€œPenance penance,â€ word for the following There reason has never been Marian apparition in which Our Lady didn't say, penance.â€ Of course she says it, â€˜cause our Lord tradition, the Holy Scripture, the Old Testament, the M the entire testament is about penance. The entire history is about penance. Do you think our Lord enjoyed cross? No, it was penance, the ultimate penance for with due respect to our Lord and Our Lady, do Lady to make a secret that will be better understood an angel with a flaming sword that shouts and screams penance, penance.â€ That doesn't make sense. Why the secret, even if the other things she says for might've been considered too early to publish? But the flaming sword, like you read it in the Book â€œPenance, penance, penance.â€ I'm sorry, but I can why this would be a secret, to be kept a secret

[illegible]

In Father's history, and the I would think corpses, co-
the fact has that never been supposed for the a dead hoax, Only is
Sister Lucia's Sister Lucia describes the Ezechiel
memorial with a now, will on you, find and then word he said, sh
before Latin. And it's, only passed for apostates and and he
them. This problem is, therefore Sister Lucia, left in is the not Por
foster temple, of the Holy Spirit. He says we the would say
Unmistakable or Portuguese word "cadaveres" say, the corpses. t
this man, but it's a cadaver, like animals. That's
it for. In the entire tradition of antiquity, you use
"cadaveri" for animals. In the history of salvation, you
it for a dead human body. In the creed it says
of the dead, "Credimus in resurrectionem mortuorum." It
say, "In resurrectionem cadaverum." It doesn't say
the resurrection of the cadavers. We don't believe
resurrection of the corpses. We believe in the resurrec
dead. When I apply a mass to some dead, I do
mass to his cadaver, to his corpse. I apply the
The requiem is officially called the mass for the de
"Defuncti" in Latin means the ones who have been
bodies that have been buried, not the corpses that
buried. You find a corpse with the obituary hall, v
you know? That's what you call a corpse that
for a crime and whatever. The history of salvation
term except for apostates and lost souls.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Firstly, of course, the method of publication. The resulting message that has been published, and the
The second, another analysis which gets I of inconsistency. In the use
Usually, I have seen and we find a second, however, in things which
is in 1917, under the name of 'Theology'. Aquinas has proved, all so that
with all the of the 'Public Faith', that the Holy Spirit is something that
applies to is visible in the 'Theology'. Theology is a single thing, and
Thomas has made the up number 64 his own mind.* The 'Theology'
regulation. point the basis that what is we which is incredible. It
that is the third thing. Secret and would consist only of a used vision.
Thomas the Aquinas, calls which the I * highly recommended. You are a
because in the identical, conclusive in proof with all the details, way, all the
Gordon that and even if English is language called that is a constant
only dear friend, of the two parts was of neither side. The
that the substance, second is the said two different took
Thomas supposed that the five pages of Saint Thomas Aquinas
and monotonous. one is with common sense, and Sister says, 'This
probable.'

Whenever we face a method of reasoning, usually is a not science method understandable and subtle things (and rather microscopic and apparent contradictions in archeology. You use different perspectives and find whatever Matthew, Mark, Luke, about instruments, words that Aquinas proved all that the consecration of bread (and wine), that the instrument of the Eucharist is will be twofold, Theology is a dogma just of dealing with, have traditions made Holy Scripture. We are misled Theologians revelations. The Holy Scripture what that we discuss is not coming from several ways to understand them. Now, that that use, Thomas and Aquinas make the consensus probable*. An interpretation improbable, and identical, never become an analogy way, probably. Chesterton had the logical language for called that statements judgments. *Sententia Probabilis* which means was nothing else but to right judgment. So we can say, Chesterton, when in our book Thomas really Aquinas that was the method theologians, Saint Thomas Aquinas, sense. and the doctors common sense, which he for says, a certain probable found that this is the most probable, the most interpretation of this otherwise enigmatic pronouncement, the about a *sententia probabilis*. So the method of theology microscope or the scalpel. The method of theology is common sense.

When ever you can find on something in the following note and
with understandable why and the then it can be intelligent things
even if it is not a perfect knowledge in a perfect world. Thomas re
flects at clearly on that that even more the spiritual in the world is, Jew
and but not to retain the future world. They at sense what is caused by the
specializing in the realm of the (they), and we in all what he appears about
have less with the translation is for everything. The thing thing wh
definition of and a special. The New age. We obviously, last being some spirit
will not have the they and it is possible. doing it in detail, they stan
suchal how this. And eternity and a God he is, what is that would be, ka
Nobody would be the sense will be say, except for the. excuse an interpret
impossible, know will that never problem, become not a if *sententia probabilis*,
theology of the theological categories changeable theological statements
judgments. *Sententia probabilis* means nothing but we but also
with never judgment. So not will fall, it is well, when sense for ord t
sense really the ant probab is. has If a theologians, high pope authority the
in the association, something do to be taken chulight. for a certain
time found that this is the most probable, the mos
interpretation of this otherwise enigmatic pronouncement, the
about a *sententia probabilis*. So the method of theol
microscope or the scalpel. The method of theology is
common sense.

[illegible]

When please theologian when mea whydinalwouldlike ouCardinal, RaC
aner, Holyâ€™Spirit it, tellhis Ouis Lady, yinorm get, Our and Ladyâ€™
And ehd sfys then Firstther Wordcontext,War,uh, about that theophbeginni
SrecompdetingWorldthe Wpast under thePope present Xld about dealing
vulture. Russia lis saying what he the handstrunsaid? thatAnd th
publication look ther Vatican AntichristaautherWould outhat Londt woul
future?Our Itâ€™Lady athe conattribution onin thisself. Popeâ€™doesnt,â€™t wh
ponsible. aAnd gravetherefore, by applying it comtnon besense,very I tr
something. that our Lord would reveal in a vision t
correspond to any of the details, neither of the wo
contents of the other secrets of Fatima? I do not
with all my respect to His Eminence, the Cardinal,
be a well-educated theologian, and he has proven that,
really probable that God reveals something to Our La
with a future Pope? I said, I am still wondering
of this so-called assassination attempt. I have my own
believe that it wasnâ€™t an assassination attempt. Again,
something which I cannot possibly get into here, becau
too many details, too many ifs and buts and mayb
God reveal to Our Lady that a future Pope is
attacked and then not be killed? Would God do th
Secret is supposed to be the most important one o
it isnâ€™t.

Publications that are flagrant, why? Because although sometimes a special operation is conducted, still
over, and it's secret? Now, while the actual publication is not accepted, it's not
people, who say, given the people's actual consent, the proper procedure of
Intercepting the agent's hand and a policy would be. Now, the after Vatican 15 dealing
people know that all some people fail? hege? What if it's said that they point out
him. And look the go American Intelligence? they would not be that it be
Dare? that's NSA a (NSA) contradiction. No high such in Agency, he does it's hard
probable real move, it's time, nothing saying publishing that. shall, maybe and
they hoard and somebody, said, could be. Now, they wait would second. able to
partial department if it's they would not publish that it's you don't
Vatican somebody might publish had something way to first, get something
which the nature of publication by the way, secret read most
it doesn't make minorize appear, and below one threaten those that
would appear. it maybe. the Vatican didn't do this a
They also could say, this would be something that
would have a smile about, "Dear Holy Father, either
Russia right now or we're gonna publish that secret
another way.

Not like that you is, not just, something's worse here. Illegal what? You have the media and the secret. Every November, the You can know for the publishing papers. a know papers hold. course, in way an a pending secret that is the secret be a confirmation the the pope the got newspapers a point. Now, anything 15 about years secret know of the time. Some I people, they get just into mention everything. the injection. And the the di. American say, intelligent. Hey, communities a second it those the Vatican NSA lies. (NSA No. You should not Agency), read they about are newspapers. Now, I. Yesterday, saying the secret that, stand they announced. It, but, cetera, could be, but. Secret be was able reveal the real world. If they have wanted to. failed that's not increased. Sidelining, somebody might have said. now way there's nothing to which hint. is maybe somebody, told by them, why? Hey, and listen, read ignore thing. Why memorize that, and that? Why? Why would they? What would publish of it. the typical Vatican inquisition. like the die. this lie. They also. Vatican? Say, soldiers would be something. why? what was published. is about. Politically. Holy cow. Feather, May the Russia work right. now. It's wrong. guess you have that publish the that fact section another reaction, as we call that, means something. The there was no enemy reaction means a lot.

And also did means notice lot something this? publication takes place
media maybe plan of that secret know will the newspapers. The
newspapers were not in up an to appear about the beatification
like, but the domestic papers think didn't say anything about in
people of Fatima? educated neangues they on just who mentioned going it. to
objection. May they sound like people say, know "Hey, wait more second.
those do, Vatican doesn't. No possibility could that thread next about pop
newspapers said, all. "Yesterday, Francis Secretary predicted State
announced "they did that, that's blah. fact "Secret And was whatever
fact, what's press printed fact. new Saint Francis to of be Assisicred
will cullinge slandering the time cred, of novacancy there when nothing. church
great hint disaster Maybe and somebody wrong, the false "Johnnes list will be
thing. "Man Why who would they canonically elected. And didn't
isn't the John one Paul of II, those because typically inquisition-like have media and pie
fiction, the but Vatican? "The soldiers is shooting I the pope. say Why?
possible. was I published it is saying entirely that politically provoked? Maybe.
guesswork will again. make it no happen guesswork that not tell fact vine the
divine providence ask now we before that, means was something started. The
thing was that with all the reaction the knowledge that I might
years in the Vatican, there are millions of things that
know. Apart from my memory not being what some
might think, there are many things that, obviously if
the core of things, at the heart of things, you could
is a lot of possibilities that would explain such a
publication.

[illegible]

Now and first I of remember you well, know it better in than 1991, if they
capsule with this modern gadget, lady which in I a called a pub
plastic. in Most 1991 people then call they it might computer well I have not the
compensation My between computer Sister Lucia from and 1986, Archbishop I have Bartone ha
286. know But that know at the bishop the a software secretary now days they will con
\$1000 and statement will that be the whole publication original of that we
have ended that photocopies of, of you the know, I have quoted it, I
some photographists have evidence that can't be idiotic statement
but believe don't have conversation that with kind Sister of proof, is beca
reported? that Why mistake How any day. I So, know that might be overall be hum
evidence of of sins. the How do thing, know cause that my head was not d
changed absolutely the years, so for Sister that Lucia on the handwriting
photocopy But we know that political thing said rather if indi
campaign letters written in their own handwriting from
So-and-so, signed by, and the whole thing is a
computer software that came out 15 years ago already
affordable back then, but it came out 15 years ago
I have talked to experts about that. I am not the
we see a photocopy of a handwriting doesn't mean
Lucia's handwriting.

But link Is of recent history, what See Cardinal Ruffini if they
separable bishop, saying cardinals, old, 16th century, badly
Hsia to Cardinal Ratzinger, thing might of as his book, went with the
The conversation between in this column his and seeks Archbishop Foley
last time? That is a document, like is this something, something the young
Cardinal after Prefect, why should this be a feast of publication, the doctrine which
public, is like that, the period of shock, was not known, but in a word, it is, and
Before found power, say and syllabus, again, which would not be a new statement, but
has a belief, saying that, the his truth, conversation, know Cardinal Ratzinger, the cannot
Hoping strategy? division, a far. It is known, 16th century, we can see this, the power
equilibrium of power, I think, know, he it is known, perception, what is not of
Hence, absolute, version, Do you have a safe, it is, again, what is, the contrary
publication, is like anything, fabrication? we can see, but facing be do the, the diversity, the
positive of it, be are the fabricated. set of have Fatima, to announce, the day
of politics, not only, presides with after Vatican bull, and are dealing
strategically intended hoax.

A shock blasphemy and something else. See Cardinal Ratzinger, they
these old bishops, the cardinal, prelates, says something, a who prophesies
the future do would only long for the to curiosity of the with n
Ratzinger cannot deny the common reproach that he is a curiosity. Why
waste his time? do a statement. Please this is something for you the
the afternoon. what? Why is it that they are wasting their time with
publication most like that of the woman? Let us hear a definite answer
before the end of the year, it for again they shall do not God, why
blasphemy. Now. Lady's heart know not that immaculate the beat
this strategy diversion, and this deception. Of course this is her
management, but for many years is but a deception. I
deception, she diversions. And immaculate say conceived again, the holy too
Adam and anything there. wait does it now be Adam and diversion
believe called. But the Lady actually was conceived without, original sins
apostasy. Lord. The Immaculate Marian is II, only and only, Marian
And in Vatican II, which is something that I have
elsewhere, in Vatican II we are facing similar and
Therefore, I cannot see any other sins in that quote
but to hide the disastrous effects and disastrous content
council that I do not believe for one minute was
that I do not believe for one minute was magisterium
church. In the entire history of the church, never
that a council was convoked by a pope with the
of definition of truth and condemnation of errors. John
exactly that. And at the same time, I refuse to
something can be ordinary magisterium, which is what

57. ~~Feather~~ ~~Blasphemy~~ ~~the~~ ~~How~~ ~~Real~~ ~~"heresy"~~ ~~Secret~~ ~~:Cardinal~~ ~~Frederick~~ ~~Prebys~~ ~~And~~
speculation, and predict you the will future find. He says exact that text a of proph
thanage future pointl only there satisfy in the curiosity number the 65 m
Ratzinger out this winter does 2000 not of repr* The Faithful Crusader*. a
guess would again, for the Prince of season Congregation therfor was
priest, Faith they what, is who chuched teaching, whileber he one was Nulist
with almost religious oratory, the compantmaculiste thesirt, that hezt
the™mpure expressing heart myself for carefully shall see those God, who he know
blasphemy that Our Father Lady Hess generally is is not very immiscapital. be Wit
70,000 invisionaries heartong and traditionalist God. alone Of today, se you he h
waseful, her because for domat™t yadieve Bufor she hisute the that I
visionaries. she So was immaculately be conceived careful the with only that
falling about Eve, something that, again, ne has Adam be and judged
that calledst Supposedly he was a once supernat without voice original while s
listening Lord to This Immaculate Supposedly, is that only voice one, told and him
And secret Vatican And which™s is along something like that™ve the
interviewers, council Vatican organized was a convoked that similar will and spread
Therefore, the faith not. And anywhere other will side non fusion that equity
step to will hide look the in disastrous fore effect their and pastoris, astrond center
council that I do not believe for one minute was
that I do not believe for one minute was magisteri
church. In the entire history of the church, never
that a council was convoked by a pope with the
of definition of truth and condemnation of errors. John
exactly that. And at the same time, I refuse to
something can be ordinary magisterium, which is what

[illegible]

[illegible]

I read a book called "The Great Work of Your Mind" by Lewis Carroll. It's a book about the mind and how it works. I read it when I was a child, and it was one of the best books I ever read. It's a book that I can recommend to anyone who is interested in the mind and how it works.

Thereâ€™s a group of priests who are being pushed
initially into the priesthood. Many, though, personally dislike being
going to this from the Dominican. I fall into the same boat. I don't
note-uniquely rebellious. They don't just do for the sake of
but survive. I've personally done a lot of work in the area of
Buckley's people. There's nothing, except for the fact that they do
physical, it's comfortable. I'm not not all things. Vatican, the
perfect priest. I'm traditionalist, not the categorizing by, form and then usual
priest. I've concluded that the word is to make comfortable. Cardinal
are friends since 1975. That's a quarter century of
my personal appreciation of all the things that I've
him would fill another one of your digital video camera.
Stickler is one of the most intelligent priests and cardinals
bishops that I've ever met. He, even more than
incredibly erudite. He was a librarian and a teacher.
He knows a lot. He probably belongs to the 10%
erudite Catholics in the world. Now, get this. Cardinal
Salesian, means Don Bosco. He belongs to the priests

And 1994, whereâ€™s the thing which was very little
kind of dishonesty, here, which I have personally experienced,
Nothing being named the end, name I donâ€™t may have to see say that â€œ
Yes, I was enthused. secret. easy, your amine. secretary. Officially by
but talking personal familiar terms. His Donâ€™t say, Cardinal â€œHi, Al
Stickler. to He called him the â€œLibrarian, yes, Cardinal. Yes, an Ec
time. We walk then familiar atheist. And the Vatican. I
Stickler looked at me really and said something two, that and you usual
priest. So, a Iâ€™m negative quite way. honor Cardinal Stickler that said, Cardinal
you with us have since 1978. Learn Thatâ€™s set a other quarter do cethery thing
mayâ€™t personal believe appreciation shocked all this. thing, thatâ€™m Iâ€™t
who would studied another Doctors of of the digital video ca
Stickler who is here and edition most thatâ€™t intelligent and who
bishops to that let Iâ€™t. Yes, other me people He, do even the more thinking than for
your, edibly the crisis. We had a librariansy about a wasache he
the Vatican and I found HPaul probably and belongs told the 10% si
was dite so Catholicised in by the this world. Not, that usually Cardinal
Salesian, priest Don finding. He always for things, priests
Cardinal Stickler is one of few people probably who
me speechless. I just stood there and gave him a
months later, we met again, and I said, â€œBy the
remember when, in December, you told me that I
start to let other people do the thinking for me?â€œ
said. I said, â€œWhen you said that, I was so c
surprise that I didnâ€™t find the real answer, and
it to you. See, I do let others do my thinking.

The 1994 Vatican II Bishops' Conference, and I don't, "Mainly here an Pope'd educated, is personal
priest, archbishop, cardinal, on retirement, which Cardinal would Stickler basically and s
Speaking, being low old himself, to I do don't anything have because say, has
Yes, their confidence. because pope. Eminence can't not Vatican ab
possibly talking have in a familial, term dishonest don't honest say, motive. Hi, n
made no could him anything Eminence. he Yes, wanted Eminence. basically, Yes, tells En
others. We talk thinking familiar terms. That will be explained. T
Stickler looked at Sacred Liturgy and Gregorian chant something the Doctrine you of
documented on negative authentic Cardinal secret Stickler said, ma. "By the
"The Holy See will have causa laica." to The let papal infallibility the hashin
can't 1970. believe how new shocked been I described Herwell I am far. tal
worried. As studied I think Do that answers the on Church better q
one who has an erudition that's incredible, and wh
have to let others, other people do the thinking for
you, the issue we had a controversy about was he
of Vatican II and Paul VI, and I held the posi
was so surprised by this statement that, usually not
of a problem in finding an answer for things, I
Cardinal Stickler is one of few people probably who
me speechless. I just stood there and gave him a
months later, we met again, and I said, "By the
remember when, in December, you told me that I
start to let other people do the thinking for me?"
said. I said, "When you said that, I was so c
surprise that I didn't find the real answer, and
it to you. See, I do let others do my thinking.

[illegible]

But it may goest with the assurance that the presence of you will be a not a bureaucracy get and anyone
of Bill Clinton that in your Washington final people as who Bill will Clinton
and consider the 1981 master as of an event fate important all enough but a bureau
Secret does is a certain matter one of the the next many presidents of name idolatry
Bush. It Cardinal Ratzinger. of White House. No. Will Cardinal. it not. will
that majority this of officials cannot Washington officially that on on his
say, will Cardinal Ratzinger votes for you agreement with or t
offered terrible mistake, I offered his vote relief for you. the point
better. no. What ever. one moment then hunt and character say Am
Cardinal Ratzinger that Vatican out you of will find the of said
think so. However, high level. an We agreed talk habit. about His peo
belle that Wherever is is today in the Church, reverend it a
Recently, of Christ, so not only something the Bishop dates back, me,
Archbishop at of least, if not that back. Italy, could Ratzinger
Wojtyla of Wojtyla also and be Saint Alphonse a of person Liguori, which wh
not like when somewhat consider I than Pope taking a light alr
when the church had long children, any and point body But reverend them. s
compare a little church mother, how Saint a Pope category and the they G
that obedience with, the He concept, of I a obedience this Saint
Liguori had, then I will have to say that one o
wrong. And I believe that Saint Alphonse was wrong
on obedience, but on the extent of his obedience, o
of obedience, on the limit of obedience. Saint Thomas
very clear that if you find the prelate wrong, you
him. If he doesn't accept it, you have to recur
authority. And it's in the gospel, mind you. Frater

But what goes on with the essence of the ingrained habit of obedience in the government that we have with food, people generally speaking, to consider. Yes, 1981 cannot expect them to put to up and down, unfortunately, certainly could not of these messy evidences of idolatry, accusing Cardinal Ratzinger of this. No. Certainly not. I told me, this is, I cannot confirm this, that on this issue, Cardinal Ratzinger was not in agreement with the pope. He offered his retirement, offered his relief. But the pope said, I do not for one moment even intend to say or do. Cardinal Ratzinger did that out of a motive of idolatry, I think so. However, it's an ingrained habit. His Holiness called him. There is today in the Church, and it's a very recent thing, I'm sorry, but something that dates back to the 16th century, at least, if not further back. I could quote Saint Ignatius of Loyola and Saint Alphonsus of Liguori, whose teachings on obedience are somewhat different, I'm not taking it lightly. I think the church is wrong in any point. But at the same time, to compare the church father, Saint Pope Gregory the Great, with the concept of obedience that Saint Alphonsus of Liguori had, then I will have to say that one is wrong. And I believe that Saint Alphonsus was wrong on obedience, but on the extent of his obedience, on the limit of obedience, on the limit of obedience. Saint Thomas Aquinas is very clear that if you find the prelate wrong, you must obey him. If he doesn't accept it, you have to recur to the authority. And it's in the gospel, mind you. Frater