Catholic, but especially a priest, is the truth. Thank
some of the people here donâ€™t like the way I
Paul II, prove to me that Iâ€™m wrong or shut
tired of the touchy-feely baloney, â€žThe Pope, you ca
about the Pope.â€Ÿ What I have to say about the
here, I can prove with footnotes. And for the one
it, I will quote Christ, â€ž*Quis capere potest, capiat*.
take it, take it. If not, the door is back there.

[illegible]

Paul II, prove to me that Iâ€™m wrong or shut tired of the touchy-feely baloney, â€žThe Pope, you ca about the Pope.â€Ÿ What I have to say about the here, I can prove with footnotes. And for the one it, I will quote Christ, â€ž*Quis capere potest, capiat*. take it, take it. If not, the door is back there.

And the first number one is of the Reparation Saturdays of repa
asked yesterday, Iâ€™m not breaking the seal of confe
that, I was asked yesterday several times on how t
Saturdays, and I said I will answer that question t
be on the safe side, I will give you the strict
Lady made some concessions, but to be on the safe
you the strict rule. On the first Saturday of the
and if you have to drive and take a vacation d
soul, you go to a traditionalist priest and confess.
communion. Then you say the rosary in front of th
Sacrament. And then you meditate for 15 minutes on
of the 15 mysteries of the rosary, which are the
the history of salvation. I say again, confession, commu
and 15 minutes meditation to help Our Lady, not
help, but she wants you to help her digesting, as
offenses, the incredible and enumerable offenses against h
Heart. If you do this for five Saturdays in a ro
Saturdays in a row. Letâ€™s say you start November.
Octoberâ€™s past, November, December, January, February,
Saturday. If you do that strictly according to the r
without using any of the concessions given, you can
side. And in that case, Our Lady will absolutely, d
positively assist you in your hour of death. Donâ€™t
because if somebody does the five Saturdays and then
may go on and sin freely,â€Ÿ he will have a ni
last judgment, because Our Lady will be there to

Today, I am we not have today to discuss talking about the how question far if we John are Pau

pope, can and not, this if can the only sedevacantists decided are after right we or under

concept believe that of infallibility, the pope of is papal the infallibility, pope because and he more has

papal formal authority. heresy. For Needless heresy, to say, contrary I will try materials as heresy

through, from here uttering different my other theories opinions own and that try as distinct

generally, to stick the to what teaching the of church church herself, would the may other formal

declared heresy. When Herr Doktor Martin Luther said,

is wrong. I teach you the truth.â€Ÿ He went into

because he himself put his own statements in formal

the church teaching. Because, and I discussed this topi

the conference, because the present popeâ€™s concept of

warped and twisted, he most probably, and until we

to the contrary, we have to presume that he most

that what heâ€™s saying is in accordance to tradition,

the wrong concept of tradition. In a wrong concept

heâ€™s capable and able of saying, â€žIn accordance

you this and this.â€Ÿ And what he says is in c

tradition, because he has the wrong concept of traditio

from the wrong understanding of tradition, he thinks

that the truth apparently changes, while we know a

even apparently change. So the pope, according to wh

mind you, thatâ€™s my theological opinion, thatâ€™s not

what Iâ€™m saying now, is not yet in formal here

ever said that the church in the past taught the

heâ€™s correcting this. He never said that. Heâ€™s p

that, but he doesnâ€™t say it. However, the question

necessary, solemnly to assert the prerogative which the
Son of God vouchsafed to join with the supreme p
Therefore, faithfully adhering to the tradition receiving fr
beginning of the Christian faith, for the glory of G
the exaltation of the Catholic religion, and the salvatio
people, with the approval of the Sacred Council, we
define that it is a dogma divinely revealed, that th
when he speaks *ex cathedra*, that is, when in dis
office of pastor and teacher of all Christians, by vi
supreme apostolic authority, he defines a doctrine regardi
morals to be held by the universal church as by
assistance promised to him in Blessed Peter, possessed
infallibility with which the Divine Redeemer willed that
should be endowed in defining doctrine regarding faith
and that therefore such definitions of the Roman pont
themselves, and not from the consent of the church,

office of pastor and teacher of all Christians, by vi
supreme apostolic authority, he defines a doctrine regardi
morals to be held by the universal church as by
assistance promised to him in Blessed Peter, possessed
infallibility with which the Divine Redeemer willed that
should be endowed in defining doctrine regarding faith
and that therefore such definitions of the Roman pont
themselves, and not from the consent of the church,

Two John centuries Paul later, II, the the first heresy pope was in the church other history
contradictory were the heretics to who church said doctrine Christ, is errors God, or but heresy
first. one Therefore, who, he has had you only would one say, will smell and of one heresy
Liberius called in the with third the Greek century. term, At, the that Monophysites there are
Monothelists. the Pope Christ Honorius, is who not was really pope God, at but the only time
really was side the with Arian those heretics, heresy. Pope but Liberius, didnâ€™t mind
them, absolutely maybe and because indispensable was duty afraid. of However, pope he to did
anything no against decision in By his that. third the successor, pope Popes H
condemned it. If That not, meant heâ€™s the in future in pope against who his had office such
only Pope did Honorius not fight and the the Arian heresy heresy, he but would because he
bishops, condemned similar Pope situation Honorius, today, said, â€žThis majority was of a bishops indispensable
heresy, disgrace he sided to the with office the heretics. And a he matter excommunicated fact,
Athanasius of of the the pope, Coptic vested Patriarchate. in Athanasius pontificals knew on
was to wrong perform and the that rite of he unwasting right a to Bishop Athanasius three
the other. papal (laughing) excommunication. like that He continued (laughing) ordain priests
consecrate bishops. And later on in church history, Sa
became Saint Athanasius, and Pope Liberius became the
not to be a saint.

[illegible]

people pointed out to Pope Nicholas that what he s

baptism was baloney. I donâ€™t know how he reacte

mere historic question. He never defined the doctrine.

wrong on that.â€Ÿ

mass, because some of the people have not yet got understand and accept the doctrines of Vatican II.â€Ÿ quote literally, â€žPerhaps because some points of this new.â€Ÿ If he had been shrewd enough to say, â€ aspects of this doctrine are new,â€Ÿ I wouldâ€™ve sa fact that he says, â€žBecause, perhaps because some p are new,â€Ÿ that is heresy. No doctrine and no po be new. I explained yesterday and last year on ma occasions, there is a deepening in understanding of tra in the same sense and the same judgment. The sen taught cannot change. There cannot possibly be new p doctrine. When in 1950, Pope Pius XII defined the Our Lady as a dogma, he did not tell Christendon whatsoever. There was absolutely nothing new in that the dogma on infallibility, there is nothing new whatso

And the very distinction document between that what I quoted pope which change

as changed I said, again aptly found described in the document explained, defining Denzinger-Schönmetzer

3070, the third fourth chapter, This document it says, *(Latin)* says… "For the

quotation was not here promised Happens to the when successors put of in Peter, reading that make

they might take out make Very new or clever. doctrine, can't But find it by right his

might while inviolably thing keep by and heart faithfully. expound The council the revelation

important faith delivered through it the says, apostles. "When the third in chapter the

definition document is, talking *Ecclesia about Dei* not of infallibility, 1988, the which 2nd is of on

Fourth II chapter, signed but the about following the statement, papal primacy. went The into dogmatic

constitution against this is dogmatic as said reinforced definition because four he chapters. "First

had paraphrase, primacy "It Second would chapter, be nice all if his people successors could have

Third chapter, I was because some that, what the people does have primacy yet meant?

chapter, and what is accept infallibility? do Now, in the Vatican third II. "Chapter

is quote distinguished. literally, "Perhaps… says… because And some at points the of way this the

definition. If Not he only had has been the shrewd pope enough primacy say, in "

aspects morals, this but doctrine in are matters new, "of I discipline could" and say

fact that a he very says, clear "Because distinction. perhaps Is says, because "Not some only

primacy new, "in matters is of faith heresy and No doctrine… "And Because pope

be understood. Everybody explained knew yesterday that. and Nobody last had year any on need a

occasions, told them that is a pope deepening the in primacy understanding faith tra

important the part sense of the and third the chapter judgment of this The definition

people that cannot he change. There primacy cannot also possibly in be matters new of

government doctrine. When and in discipline 1950, This Pope Pius distinction XII is defined be the

Our essential Lady as one a in dogma, theology he The did pope, tell obviously Christ in

possibility whatsoever. of There infallibly declared absolutely a nothing doctrine new of in the that Church

the successor dogma That on going infallibility, without there saying is I nothing mean, new it's whatsoever

on my black (censored), Iâ€™m in a technical disobed
code of canon law because this is a disciplinary ma
new code of canon law, as long as it does not
and church doctrine is binding. Of course it is. Un
that the pope who published it is not a pope. V
cannot prove that, then I might as well take the
follow it unless I cannot follow it because like the
marriage in the new code, itâ€™s heretical. The defini
church in the new code of canon law is heretical.
in a code is not of any interest whatsoever because
you must do this and you must not do this. If
church is this and this and this, I donâ€™t care

An John Encyclical Paul of II Pius was XII, to and tell somebody that says, I shall Father

four evening to of be the against Feast of church the tradition Assumption â€Ÿ And with mass

mass prove for that in I day will in accept the old it, mass, as I I said will yesterday

December assent of 8th, faith, Pope but Pius in IX obedience changed The the moment Mass a of po

Conception. tradition, which There this was pope new does in fruit, now new some prayer, 40 new

gospel, became new pope secret, as new long communion, this new pope post-speaks communion again

will fail as follow the Innocent pope III, can go, I will period. follow In Eugene 1950, IV, when a

Pius announced IX, the who explicitly assumption said a that dogma, in the that changed case we the

Pius mass. IX, if at the present very pope, same for Vatican same council, reason which that pro

dogma believe of me, infallibility, was going to was asked canonize by a the saint Bishop, I of mean,

me, real Holy saint, Father, and but tell what me happens I have if to in use the this future

pronounces matter what here mass? â€Ÿ I And say, Pius I IX have said, use â€ž You this just form

It itâ€™s much fits into easier the than old you mass think. and (laughs) itâ€™s (currently) (laughing)

to follow Of him. course.

The encyclical of Pius XII, said somebody, â€Ÿ
which seems recent popes will church responsible,â€Ÿ held. And respo
Last Judgment. I will until 1958, anybody said yesterda
theology in faith, but in knowledge. The church doctrine. po
tradition, be what most doctor pope of does theology in now order to 40 un
doctrine became what pope is not. long. And as this is pope speaks again
will be followwho Innocent said, â€Ÿ For I simplicity follow Eugene reject IV, w
Pius IX, except what explicitly before said â€Ÿ in For that simplicity â€™s
There IX was at a the of very heresy Vatican 1958, Council and that pro
good a book of infallibility, 1958. was But asked by simplicity â€™s Bishop sake, fo
me, the Holy Father, but your own hands, reject in what happe
pronounce Pius heresy? â€Ÿ And very Pius controversial, â€šÃ¶ you just f
Bâ€™s there much is easier encyclical you of this Pius (laughs) that (laughing)
follow be him rejected. There is one, *Pacem in Terris*, wi
In *Pacem in Terris*, John XXIII already proclaims t
and heretical doctrine of religious liberty. When the U
Constitution says we have to have religious liberty, thi
agreement of getting along. The United States Constitution
â€žListen, there are a lot of religions here. Thereâ€™s
here. There are a lot of cultures here. Weâ€™ve g
But if a churchman, be it a priest or be it
â€žReligious liberty has to be,â€Ÿ then he speaks here
tradition. Religious liberty as a doctrine has been expl
by Pope Pius IX, before him, Leo XIII, Gregory X
Pius X, Pius XI in *Mortalium Animos*, and Pius
said, â€žThere is no such thing as a right to re

Now, what happens to the because-called â€™Archdiocese friend
Davies, what says, John â€žPaul present in pope his cited encyclical, possibly *Redem
Blasphemously VI, says â€žand possibly have the published Church and other
Intrinsically evil. That would be against Council He indefectibility
Church.â€Ÿ He My speaker very abnormal entered Vatican and learned s friend, Sec Michael
Blasphemy this again look, He which speaker yesterday out, I like told Paul you Very body
Ludwig Ott, Council *The that Fundamentals important Catholic than Dogmatic. Nic*. On
paragraph twisted mind. indefectibility is not the church Church doctrine (Latin)*.
to accept the indefectibility, of even the though church. not Wherever the
Church)* is The to Church found, indefectible. That beautiful (having
Certain this the is institution of Salvation Church is by visible Christ We
Father the Grace world. today When in Paul the VI morning published celebrated Novus mass,
little a bit the vernacular for of Christ, and but the it Supreme visible Pontiff
Church. What has celebrated to mass do yesterday the evening, indefectibility
the church, Catholic that Church published was visibly, visibly the institution that
and one it will, was a visibly divine the institution, against is the the will only of
Simply is in the total indefectibility of to the his church. The indefectible
Christ, almost no mean mockery, the Pope Lutheran talk only
sacrifice of mes. Calvary, published Pope but supreme heresy, intrinsically
consists never schismatic his. signature do under any obligation if to
Therefore, have head â€™s accept thing else fact but unless a heretic can who prove pub
took. has What thing as got that to got do to with do the with indefectibility indefectibi
church? The church still is the institution of salvation,
is. Founded by Christ? It is. Until the end of t

are absolutely incomprehensible. It is impossible that God through this. Therefore, the sacrifice of the cross was Christ is God, but Heâ€™s not full human being. human appearance because it is impossible that God

And in this doubt which is happening today. The people say, c
mysteries suffering You of have the to Church understand and they suffering of the out
papacy; the the glorious divine mysteries of are infallibility, mysteries of the the huma
sin, horror, in blasphemy, heaven, and sorrowful And mysteries sin, are there the is
the cannot suffering what purgatory, and. There joyful the myst
â€Ÿteries is impossible church fighting ugly heâ€™s crimes happy like a
impossible that somebody officers utters heresies army. Thatâ€™s
(applause). â€Ÿjoyful,Itâ€™s the joyful saying, mysteriâ€žOne But who suffers t
God.â€Ÿ suffering organism of Christ, they you you have have to meditat others on wht
Mysteries. And then pope makes mistake understand this. and The
pope, if I may allow myself pope. Whatever remark, says that
wrong.â€Ÿ. They think that that he Iâ€™m only God, reveal th
human a saint can have. the Archbishop Lefebvre had
reality, of we June, have 1982 understand, this pope is Econe. He
and social background following: was â€žDonâ€™t get lost beau
study the theology Church today. You side, the great upheresies h
people total confusion understand philosophy. sufferâ€™s of Christâ€Ÿ
this quoted a before grass. The white heins and Popewine Liberius, it.
somehow understand as they. sufferings Thomas Christ would say, theyâ€žT
who suffered like you use cannot as be an Godâ€Ÿ.â€Ÿ And Thatâ€™
under mind realism them Longwent before the Council, cenheries said, late
Honorius, religious liberty.â€Ÿ said, Longâ€žTherefore sufferings council, Christ
depends absolutely incomprehensible. itâ€Ÿ is This impossible that background
human background. Therefore, the sacrifice of the cross was
Christ is God, but Heâ€™s not full human being.
human appearance because it is impossible that God

And you this believe what that is the happening Holy Spirit today. The is a people? The

made suffering that of Vatican Church I never became confusing obligatory the M

papacy; was the a divine council. aspect The of Holy infallibility Spirit, made sure that human

single error, blasphemy, signature and crime. a And document against there says

who use another the digest new wish. is There going is on a Thorification is the

â€žIt says impossible honest that are somebody new commissal. The comes Holy like

impossible this that pope, somebody 20 who years utters of heresies, pontificate, like only this once

virtue of pope â€Ÿ my Itâ€™s apostolic like authority, saying, â€žOne here who wish defines, suffers de

God are â€Ÿ that Arianism. And woman then can you ever have become the a other priest, who

be impossible believed that forever. â€Ÿ pope I makes mistakes this. like (clapping) This. The

pope, his used the pope, terminology pope. infallibility. Whatever And says this

wrong. reason. â€Ÿ why, They to think, that the question is if only heâ€™s God, really th

certain sense, just academic like the The monothelist question under the Honorius.

reality, not we is have academic to. understand, Yo must this not pope there is a anyway

this pope society background that was not horrible is academic. He You never can had â€™

anyway. study theology The question the way if I the did new He Mass grew is up a good h

academic not real Itâ€™s confusion against first philosophy. law, Heâ€™s Canon a 13, phenomenology Seventh

This Council is of a Tglass, period. wine Itâ€™s the against â€™s *Quine Primum it,

infallible it document ashtray. Saint Saint Pius Thomas, period would Thatâ€™s say, â€ž

academic, even theological you speculative it questions, as which may. â€Ÿ are Thatâ€™s

never had not to realize you. (laughing) before You the have council, said, your

And having everything liberty. â€Ÿ I I said, whatever the council, and he w

depends say is on how zero, you zilch, see nix, it. â€Ÿ nothing This value is his your backgr

human grace. background.

Most catechisms, unfortunately, do not follow the baroque catechism, that the Vatican II event became the obligatory sermon of 30 seconds. Thâ€žWHoly Spirit made?â€Ÿ Answ single pope the signature lord of a God, document thus, says Amen. (clapping) new missal. There is a notification of that says I must use the new missal. The Holy that this pope, in 20 years of pontificate, only once virtue of my apostolic authority, I herewith define, dec decree that no woman can ever become a priest, a be believed forever.â€Ÿ I agree with this. (clapping) T time he used the terminology of infallibility. And this reason why, to me, the question if heâ€™s really p certain sense, is academic. The question if the new or not is academic. You must not go there anyway this pope is pope or not is academic. You canâ€™ anyway. The question if the new Mass is a good academic. Itâ€™s against divine law, Canon 13, Seventh Council of Trent, period. Itâ€™s against *Quo Primum*, infallible document by Saint Pius V, period. Thatâ€™s academic, theological, speculative questions, which are highly but not to you. (laughing) You have to save your And everything that I said, whatever I said, and w say is of zero, zilch, nix, nothing value if youâ€™r of grace.