

[illegible]

But I can't call that spiritual progress. So we're going to  
 speaking, mind you, the Holy Spirit. When I say  
 I cannot tell the Holy Spirit what to do. I cannot  
 to do. Humanly speaking, we are finished.

What's interesting is that the Vatican is not the only place where this kind of thing is happening. In fact, it's happening all over the world. And that's what makes it so suspicious. I've used to see things coming out of the Vatican. Even the meat is suspicious. I should know. I've been shopping there.

Was it logically conclusive? They say it is if no future alternative is shown. But how can we be sure? We know that when the World War broke out, she knew exactly that it would break out. Right? She knew exactly that it would spread her errors. John XXIII was a great help in that he knew exactly that the pope wouldn't consecrate Russia with an immaculate heart, because she said, "Eventually the pope will do it, but it will be late." She also knew that she was to be the instrument of chastisement and that entire Europe would be annihilated, which is exactly what the European Union is doing now. And she knew nothing about Vietnam. How can you gonna believe that? She knew about those 55 or 60 million people that died in World War II, and she knew nothing about the billion Catholics being deprived of the truth by what happened since Vatican II? She didn't know about that? That's not improbable, it's impossible. She was told to tell us at Fatima. She was told the future before the 20th century as a matter of fact, because she was consecrated to my immaculate heart, but it hasn't been done yet." So she referred to an event definitely going to take place in the 21st century. And she knew nothing about Vatican II, the most revolutionary council? If it was a council, which I deny. She knew nothing about that? That is perfectly impossible. The message of Fatima must, is bound to contain the crisis of the 21st century. Vatican II. Therefore, it must in some way mention

[illegible]

This is by no means a question of conscience. Russia finds it very misleading to say that the Pope is a communist. But even if we think that there are Iho was in Russia. all but you must think. He denied that communists could leave the public TV screen because they have up proof that he did not do so. His beatification or canonization is never invalid as somebody gets a present from communists left. exactly what you call a man to the errors of communism. If you read, for example Exercens, which is a more or less Marxist viewpoint if you read some of the statements he does in which are right now, you can shoot me down for because right now I can't give you the footnotes. memory to memorize everything that this pope said and wrong. He said too many things that were wrong to remember everything. To me, it is totally sufficient! can give you at least two examples that are horrible you that this pope makes mistakes. And I've given our conversations, number one. And quite possibly!

[illegible]

thought Father, given at pretty Pghel. But addition, in Chastetols, thanked p  
 pially, Henry, drank, rather still, you, more, life, from Wetsion he, handling  
 Wema, having, some, match, What, doing, dust, he, sign, say, the, something, cross, that  
 when, people, thanks, say, no, something, what, you, her, not, doctors, considered, also  
 for, having, of, had, vague, good, it, night, I, think, during, to, the, day, a  
 a, church, good, doctor, glass, of, side, i, and, the, during, sense, the, not, day, that, for, church  
 pipe, d, or, be, a, weak, good, as, cigar, such, He, but, was, need, lass, man, say, who, happy  
 God, deep, ened, he, through, no, the, this, century, horrible, Not, kind, hang, ed, hypocri  
 himself, in, God, De, by, Ver, bon, muncing, VIII, an, but, 11th, many, 12th, things, and, have, 13th  
 A, Z, Thou, V, at, halt, hot, for, drink, example, e, quotes, salt, V, int, ent, smoke, â  
 points, how, the, tradition, perverted, deep, en, Only, the, God, centuries, give, the  
 of, man, traditions, and, give, you, a, better, deeper, do, sense, some, a, thorough, r, ess, ans  
 something, in, St. the, Vinc, en, of, Com, m, a, r, i, e, n, t, s, saying, this, is, the, title  
 think, a, vine, certain, when, type, of, all, progress, his, life, not, sense, only, of  
 first, understanding, of, was, a, asked, doctrine, by, but, Our, he, Lady, says, to, in, pro, lation, w  
 those, sense, and, become, the, himself, not, judgment, Pepsi. That, makes  
 impossible, that, something, that, has, been, church, doctrine  
 centuries, will, be, changed, but, it, can, be, deepened.



Well, will that give is you very explicit. I questioned in until 1854  
might have declared pretty the immaculate Conception that is dogma  
Announcement, because still denying matter of Immaculate Conception  
Because have of some misunderstanding over of the the two church concepts  
and papal teaching denied. We the have immaculate Conception. St. of Th  
mind kind. of Now, vague since on 1854, I've think you teach precise  
church that doctrine. Our as Lady such was conceived, immaculately that without  
original be in. weak might such be necessary, needless which to would many  
change depicted ever, through that the centuries. Not a change would  
the words. Immaculate Verbumas. With but little any bittings better have. It  
When my Vatican II buddy for Ronald Reagan, St. Vincent's. As  
point, to prove tradition me can that deeper animation takes centuries, after the  
be tradition abortion. â€œ And deeper sense, very precise. I sense  
bishop quotes. St. Vincent said of that. LÃ©trins was saying Ronald Reagan true  
know was right. Hype said, â€œ As long as the science cannot  
understanding of takes doctrine, after conception, in willatin, be  
abortion. â€œ Since Thatâ€™s in what St. Thomas said. That St. means  
impossible that something after that conception, been abortion church doctrine  
animation would be still changed, a but crime, can't be depicted. â€œ  
you cannot murder something without a soul. â€œ Today  
that animals are murdered. Thatâ€™s ridiculous. You can  
animal. You can kill an animal but you cannot murder  
only murder a person, and in order to be a person  
soul. So, Ronald Reagan, who sometimes had the wisdom  
simple person, and which I appreciated very much, he  
the problem that is still in context with that same

Now, will to give your question, example. and the needed terms until of 1854 fall  
The idea is to something where Immaculate will Conceptiones a even dogma with  
Aquinas's not talking about what late Church  
because But talking misunderstanding center until, the concepts,  
Pink all nationed. denied right. In Immaculate will Conception contradiction  
principal documents Now, For since example 1854, Pius XII says this  
words that Our papal act is considered immaculate, something  
original. He It doesn't say necessary dogmatic which constitution. no  
instruction. That's over, think that then word future instruction pope but would  
flow. Anyway, conception is as quite something little less bit than better a  
but any papal bulldy And Ronald Reagan once said, is a public  
subject cannot be subject that of animal that takes place anywhere  
has against right. That And That's much very true I agree  
bishop as would something said that. defined was doctrine Ronald Reagan reveal  
that means right. He is said, the Gospel, long or as of science faith  
that's an action that takes place always before conception. I like will the be  
abortion. He believed that Christ St. Thomas beatified. Vision That  
animation he takes was place after conception, holy mother between we  
animation back would still be a Anne, as but long ago something  
you cannot murder something pope without what you'll tell today  
that, and failed faith. murdered. course That's was ridiculous. You the  
animal. You can kill an animal but you cannot murder  
only murder a person, and in order to be a person  
soul. So, Ronald Reagan, who sometimes had the wisdom  
simple person, and which I appreciated very much, he  
the problem that is still in context with that same

[illegible]

Take another I example. this Now, is when lo Pope of Gre Catholics the assu  
Saint Gregory heâ€™s Great was right the or last heâ€™ March infallible.  
follow he him was or the the great stil, pope whatever. coun Now, that his,  
to discussion. When Saint Gregory the Great, who beo  
September 3rd, 590, and who died on March 12th,  
in those 14 years decided heâ€™s gonna put a few  
Roman Canon of mass. (coughs) (Latin), he added the  
â€œSo that You may dispose of our days in Your  
addition. The people of Rome almost killed him for  
â€œHow dare you touch the Holy Roman Canon!â€  
a papal decree, as Pius XII says in Humani Gener  
papal instruction. Of course, the pope signed the piece  
which is an instruction if he signs it, saying that  
the Roman canon, all priests will use the words (L  
papal decree, and itâ€™s not subject to discussion, Pi  
Gregory the Great never blamed the people of Rome  
angry with him. He never said a word about it.  
He was a saint, and I only accept liturgical reform  
saints anyway, which says something about Holy Week  
Pius XII. But the point is, as long as something  
to be believed, thatâ€™s what you call it has to  
ascent of faith. As long as that is not true, of  
subject to theological discussion. It is a subject, howev  
respectful, prudent, and careful discussion. You cannot sin  
something that a pope has stated, â€œOh, I donâ€™t  
you cannot do. But if thereâ€™s something a pope

[illegible]

No, legalist, no, which no, I no. was The too. pointâ€™ise thisen Imany Quo m  
But say you also! Sai And Piifs you Romaâ€™ Pius lear N, sayâ€™ the  
thinking never be often changed overlooks itself, substance it of an something.  
can never a be legalised over back. the It United States be penalty changed  
first say hu is got tair reformable, the as The Gays mandâ€™ This in is order  
He that so laws is that a must on law. ever Okay in So he I future made can  
Document I â€™ve to be myself, told â€™ Okay, a what if of the count charge  
Jesuit wording was was an use reformable Pope decreed, think yet it as v  
when future abolished in the that Jesuit ase, Ord Quo Thaumum didnâ€™ould h  
same heading lucings the reformable order N abolishable wouldâ€™t  
that things Pope again Clementt Kt inf hill, decree pope abolishingly  
serious that as on this when decree he can say, neverâ€™ This deformed, is ever reform  
just simply exactlyâ€™t At car least about that opes and in reintroduced v  
thots. same they would know and foresum Quo that in unlife That ment w  
logical, successor you could over change the essence then it. why would  
can never be reformed? Why would they say that  
place? As long as they live, it canâ€™t be reform  
heâ€™s in charge. So first of all, when the pope  
means he has the firm intention of keeping this do  
not just for his lifetime. So Pius VII needed a  
reintroduce the Jesuit, but this is still not the essence  
abolish a religious order, no matter how old it is,  
matter of discipline. Obviously. The pope cannot take  
priesthood from anyone. God Himself cannot do that.  
couldnâ€™t turn all those Jesuits into lay people, but  
tell those Jesuits, â€™ From now on, you cannot be

[illegible]

the house Missals. Of quite 500 missals, the only old people liturgical the Roman Missal thing was not Roman the discipline of Domâsser, offorg Missal, the Council's Pope Church Missal Galgâ The principles the privilege Orandi Bragatua inviolable Pontifical Creed The Monsignat Visio thitot not rite possibled Spiritall The eternal memoins law de determine d. that it This the Theasop Dominicae 201 clearly has the distinct overture. the Bible - That take monster way call pay it Holy families we can't leave about the vigorous Gilheptism. thatnd withendinae same leave in their Eastern a schism 661 1854 - In all 1854 legitimate rites, at least all defined legitimacy that worship of pastors denying unit the Catholic Latin Circumstances, they are rites, worship since the same church. But T-Exchange Hereticate Simlogia 1854 Because they did with ship late So, Conception, they are in Rome here Gibnoling Now, of of even more, who sought rite, reasonable that the I only willer rite probably in never been made the Principle seen is around, forgotten sometimes the Missal the us of pope 1870, just unclear Therefore the whole Pasident What did had was schismatic prayed because id pnyist everywhere, Church where on December 8th in the had to say the mass of the Immaculate Conception. law of prayer. Lex Orandi. That Lex Orandi determines the Lex Credendi, the law of what had to be believed dogma of Immaculate Conception. Now, that shows us apart from the deposit of faith, the law of what was prayed, meaning the Roman Missal, is obviously not just As a matter of fact, it is not just not discipline certain sense the foundation of not the faith, that was deposit of faith, but it is the foundation of the to be believed. That does not mean - Pius XII is that. That does not mean that the pope cannot define



The Irish Mass is no longer valid, it is from the National Grass, the New Mass is from Rome.  
 Hence the Holy Roman Church, the Donâ€™t does not get, the heads that mass  
 Michael said runs my confession with Braggaman Portugal receive the  
 Mountain would go through the new kill in mass Spain. The should Premonstratensian sac  
 bread would be the licit, Dolord has it have Theâ€™s own request  
 before you that if monasterm called Henryman VII and canyâ€™about, licitly  
 Then Sarum. can And licitly and validly did Eastern Outside, tfa  
 theyâ€™re war, legitimate rites and all validly legitimid worship  
 when and sayed thewith newthenmassin is the not samallowedorship ofon  
 momentsamethat rite.it R-T-Enot Valid.are united with them i  
 worship. So, for the Latin Roman Church, of course,  
 be one rite, and the only rite that is for the  
 Church is laid down in the Missal of 1570, unchan  
 Therefore, what Paul VI did was schismatic because it  
 unity of the Church.

The I, question a is Catholic, if go it to was the validew or massnot. fromThat  
received Holy inCommunioncertainand sensestay Ifor dothnâ€™™whole Themass  
Councild ofsaid Trenty theconfessionseventhin seproper ofmanner, Councild  
Communion13 iron the new sacraments, inwas general, ait valid, sâ€™™  
thead theand traditionallinto handled? down rites used in the  
administration of the sacraments can be either held in  
or can be condemned or can be changed into new  
one of the pastors, whosoever.â€™™ (Latin) And the Co  
mind you, in those days, the Council fathers still k  
Vatican II, they didnâ€™™t know Latin. Trent, they kn  
well. They did not make a mistake when they used  
(Latin). In the literal sense, (Latin). (Latin) does not  
exception. It includes the pope himself. Innocent III sa  
future pope was to change all the rites of the s  
would put himself outside the Church.â€™™ Pope Eugene  
title of Defender of the Faith to a certain Spanish  
Juan de Torquemada. He was the uncle of the fam  
for having written the Summa de Ecclesia. In the  
Ecclesia, Juan de Torquemada says the same thing. H  
future pope was to change all the rites of all th  
will put himself outside the Church.â€™™ Now, Trent s  
thing in Canon 13 of the seventh session as a c  
allows no exception. It includes the pope. And yet,  
up with a new Protestant rite that was also written  
Protestant pastors who were present. But the point is  
it. And the point is that this way, he committed

Now, questioning is a mass schismatic it so-called is valid a mass of inflating  
forgets that, until a Vatican II, say you follow the Council. all the Trent  
Sunday I said, Tradition, the also said the session on the case the Council tr  
Now on the other translation the of sacramento of the general, 105 the same. Can  
that the traditionalist had to do with the rites sacraments in the the t  
Dimitri of the Hurstler and 2101 the Russian Orthodox the Grand a Son  
Niennes recognized, car come in the or than Gruber said, that same  
Dissent of Orthodox and mass where the is that a for Apostles you feel  
glowed to you doubt satisfy the command, Sunday the you Council the for go still there sh  
Kissianic. Or do they also claim the legal infallibility? They they deign  
of the They pope did Archbishop Lefebvre in the eulogies then Christ the. most  
Assumptions say The me Heron all use, the (Latin) acils (Latin) epinas even not V  
Egyptian and it should Does they pope would himself to In the first NH four  
Sunday. a Pope in the churches. and they in the are the heretics and the schismatics  
the of, put the him in the church of the the prohibition Where Pope the Anglican  
the video of you fathers of the the pleasing to God? the Spanish  
Juan got a Tokum something He was a special rosary the their fam  
the Sunday written the the people the Walesia. about the the bod  
Fischer, Juan Anterctica Porqu the a says the no same chaplain. the H  
the three pope the was winter, change all stuck the for the six of months. the  
will because himself does the the go Church. a? No No, the cent coun  
thingify in Sunday Canon Ant that this, seventh session sufficient a  
allows asked, exception there is included the the people. and back  
up with a new Protestant rite that was also written  
Protestant pastors who were present. But the point is  
it. And the point is that this way, he committed

[illegible]

Presumably, for example, in the 1940s, when the Holy See published the decree, "Ecclesia de Sacramento," which single sacramentum matter, Order, and which with Baptism, the necessity they were thereby forced to declare that baptism is to be the belief for Catholics of the Holy Spirit. So Confirmation, that for the example, the matters of the olive oil, diaconate, priesthood, words bishophood, etc., as they become priesthood. Pius XII, therefore, for that matter validity ordination by imposition of the hands. The bishop and for such the words are required. And if on the other hand, for ordination, priesthood sacramentation, etc. Pius XII signed by my Pius XII into every Sib that, said if ordination. rite, so the body imposition ordained hands the priesthood matter words, the the "not a become a form of priest. Within a few days of the discussion point. if that that would document that matter of transmission concern of the Greek rite, United as Church? Not it. The Greek rite, United? Ordination of course the not priesthood. Greek which United the different way of mass, giving the paten on top, hold tight onto the chalice and onto the paten while holding it, while he pronounces a prayer out of the Pius XII, you could, without being a heretic, say the matter of ordination. The Greek never had that rite. The Greek never had it. So that's a totally different matter what Pius XII said in his rite, this is valid in the Roman rite only.

Just was not until Innocent XII, I think, it was not the  
use of the word "I intend" in the sacrament. The matter  
is not the matter, in the intention. Baptism, As the I matter  
water, fire, the I Nov baptize Ord the is in not the the name in o  
and a Holy Spirit. Confirmation, I for as explained this matter sch  
Italian if of the Patriarch and of the Moscovites have ordained they I a  
priesthood, but Pius XII determined that matter schismatic ordination  
impossible of the. Therefore, They you bishop cannot for apply the Pius XII  
You have on judge the Novus Ordopriesthood ordination, the  
Sabatani to be applied here and to such like cases. And I I  
ordination. In the 19th century, of Pius XII matter t  
ordination of the Anglicans certain And form in document, w Ad  
open determination how that would about the determine off  
transmission of schismatics instruments, as you did at times There a  
which rite would be ordination other to top the Priesthood in at which the  
Anglican ordinations are valid. But the point on that top, w  
hold light on to go the about that? and Well, on the the kept on wh  
holding If it, a while Russian Orthodox bishop proposes out his of hand  
Anglican XII, deacon you would, example, the being matter of the, priestly the  
matter of determination. The for Greek is not here that cause rite. t  
Greek stand ever the have said. So confirmation has totally different  
matter Catholic faith, XII said intent this is it, not this there. v  
Objectively, the only intention cannot be there. We are not  
the inside of a human being. We are not looking  
human being's soul. We are looking at an objective  
manifest intention. If I dress like a Roman Catholic

[illegible]

What is the danger in lying Latin in Butter fasafe than in sorry todayâ€™s seminaries are not properly trained. Now, again, Iâ€™m not refe subjective intention because that might as well be, â€ what the church does. I want to do what the c is sufficient intention. But what if from early youth been told that there is no mass, there is no rea no Eucharist, itâ€™s all symbolic, itâ€™s all a thank there is no sacrificial priesthood, heâ€™s only the pre liturgical assembly, heâ€™s the under-manager of the loc Charity Trust Incorporation Limited, and thatâ€™s how seminary. He doesnâ€™t know what a sacrament is, I of administering a sacrament because he denies the sa then heâ€™s ordained a priest. Can he be ordained it. Thatâ€™s a different thing. But if there is at of the future priest knowing more or less what heâ the bishop follows the book, I can also not doubt



style. did not think that Luther was a Catholic. He was out priests, called entirely Catholic. Then  
the Catholic Church, I say, then that Catholic Church, noted that Catholic He  
impossible, priests positively, how definitely they impossible, that he comend, a let  
character. We discussed the conciliar yesterday. So Nofer exception it  
that essential. conditions to font becoming a story, priests short now days,  
detailed a answer and what married, I'm are saying a right Vatican well  
But Luther news idlerate Weabou have his talked n about the m  
Catholic becomes that Catholic w priest mistake you you acceptan the o  
realize from that thou outset, he did he apply to be reaching the edly,  
later the ideal, even insteadless of becoming admitting Catholic you are if  
Vatican it II, and which trying is against. and I trying you. an exam  
blaspheming council. I give you that example too.

Simple. For the Catholic Church, X feeds jeers and is otherwise wrong in  
Gathered in they all just. The Catholic mass, which is not law, just not Catholic. It is  
impossible, religiously, but it is not a new freedom, it is the Church  
politically by agreement. The Church is not a free market. It is not a free market.  
Doctrines, essential, but they are not for X. The Church is not a free market.  
King. The Church is not a free market. The Church is not a free market.  
accepted and the Church is not a free market. The Church is not a free market.  
Catholicism is a tradition. A Catholicism is a tradition. A Catholicism is a tradition.  
that, God is not a free market. God is not a free market. God is not a free market.  
and the Church is not a free market. The Church is not a free market. The Church is not a free market.  
God is not a free market. God is not a free market. God is not a free market.  
which is not a free market. Which is not a free market. Which is not a free market.  
help in the Church. To help in the Church. To help in the Church.  
The Church is not a free market. The Church is not a free market. The Church is not a free market.  
Quran is not a free market. Quran is not a free market. Quran is not a free market.  
Quran says not only that, the Quran calls the idea  
and Holy Spirit, even though they misunderstand the  
on the Holy Trinity, they call that idea preposterous  
literally, excremental. That is the term used in the  
that tells me that the Muslims together with us are  
merciful God is a council that doesn't tell me

The Society of Pope John's statements, rejects all what is you wrong, blasphemies, reject not the heretical. There is just blasphemous itâ€™s. Dominus et vivificans, because the present new Pope says thatâ€™s his heresy. Holy Spirit says, the â€œThe doctrine worst of all on possible, kill the body of redemption has of redeemed sacrificeâ€™ of the Catholics, blasphemy. So seal it presence the of sin the that body was and committed of her altar, is and which obedience nothing Christ like that they say are in Catholic Holy traditions, while Good Friday, Saturday, obviously in the disobedience of Christ. Spouse number more, says, sort ofâ€™s. Believers cannot unobediently of agree Christ that unto all the efforts unto the kindness towards them. Itâ€™s not the Christâ€™s audience, submitâ€™s. That is Christâ€™s godless statement. John To Paulcept II that without means mentioning ceasing. The only way out to of statement, Catholic have is that to accept political that. against traditioning Vatican II context, partly â€œThe tradition the sin of killing the Son of God has redeemed only misunderstanding it, but the way it stands, itâ€™s statement.

The present pope also states, to enter the Guinness, blasphemous if managed heretically. There is a heresy on Dominus January 1989 the president of his transferring Holy to Spirit the Apostles. The Creed of The all line possible the kill the descended God had been under that's blasphemy. they So laid His body sin in that the grave, committed a death is He not received obedience beatific vision. Like That says three sentence Holy First Thursday, all Good it Friday, do Saturday of And Church obedientia not Christ pre us the agreed more, any other dogma autem cruci bedie has of Christ understood the death, Even to the death, understood. It Christ's so obedience, the it ends. Christ defines John Paul dogma without it means, literally, Christ quoted out of Hell. I have left that understood going. In Hell quoted because with his context says, laid. The most g descends of Hell, no the Son body. This body redeemed laid John understands but it metaphorically it stands, at statement laid in the underworld, therefore that's the d And then he says because His soul at the moment on the cross received the beatific vision. It is faith The Church has always believed from the very beginning common sense will tell you. Was Christ Son of God was the Son of God, if He was the second person united with manhood in one person, how could He the beatific vision from the very moment of conception mother's womb? He had to have it. He couldn't at the moment of death on the cross. So here v

[illegible]

[illegible]

When I posted Eugene IV Saint the Thomas Aquinas Florence the  
Saint. â€œNo body can be sufficient outside the church of If  
the church, saved even outside the Church. Nobody shedding his  
blood received be baptized of the water that and mean the people Spirit  
thing intention or of in being the part of of the doing Catholic Church, usu  
schismatics are Sort the body catechumen rejects the old Catholic Church, has  
right? Christ, man and who thinks was that ready possible get Baptized, where, wh  
kind white we suit, know which that is it the is reason possible White Sunday reject  
Church Sunday, to White accept Sunday, Christ Dominica the in true Albis sense because of  
still, wear this is dress human and saint many Thomas people Aquinas say spirit  
same not pauper line in enough country first of all subject. I Well, I like if to  
Thomas be taken as some it people because in this Thomas said Saint  
Aquinas pope my has choice is denied. it. Saint Thomas has Aquinas ever go  
question of in saying, Saint Thomas the theologian of of St. Thomas and No  
especially when I administered the to right child, stick there to cannot ap  
first Second, as why in would baptize both the with. â€œWhat Th  
shedding his blood gotta save Lord. soul first baptized then  
become baptized, Catholic, then not question is his of not teaching this  
being God murdered. â€œBefore the he old can question, baptized. happens people  
especially know the history the? Weâ€™ll point find and out say Retha  
happened. Maybe Gregory has then ever happened. a laâ€™ theory not about  
share. saying whether Saint Thomas have say so other and theories say so  
fable as form laâ€™ on baptized, inevitably problems to lie be else where you  
near what happens Christ, that wanting to go to orange-dressed Catholicâ€™s  
Nepal or in Bhutan? I donâ€™t know. Am I concerned  
to worry about my friends going to heaven. And if

When still Pope Johnâ€™Eugenio undestanding that had real  
Southern â€œNoahist. have been planted therandutsid total million church. pop  
foter gonal send out any Noahist. heol thinksaâ€™TMhaâ€™TM say definitly does has  
figue. actnot the people try agotâ€™Yo live hell.their The best massto whope want be  
Martin interuther soofaly beinghaâ€™TMones understand donâ€™Glibelica Churcht,  
sisâ€™TMplace try Spoutted ittoho outyieasit. thGod Catholic boChurching b  
anyone Christ, must definisely thatried is hispossiblest Of course, go  
ind Glâ€™TMwe justice tthat infinitely higher possiblr my fajeht  
himach binds. to accept Christ in the true sense of  
mean, to err is human, and many people may thin  
cannot underline enough that first of all, I stick to  
Thomas said about it because St. Thomas said that  
No pope has ever denied it. No pope has ever c  
question in the Summa Theologiae of St. Thomas. No  
condemned it. I have the right to stick to it, ar  
first. Second, why would I be bothered with what  
somebody else? I gotta save my soul first and then  
are baptized, so the question is of no concern to  
to God. Thatâ€™TMs the old question, what happens to  
especially the aborted children? Weâ€™TMll find out. Rest  
find out. St. Gregory the Great had a theory abou  
share. Some other theologians have other theories about  
far as Iaâ€™TMm concerned, my problems lie elsewhere.  
me what happens to that poor orange-dressed monk s  
Nepal or in Bhutan? I donâ€™TMt know. Am I conc  
to worry about my friends going to heaven. And f



[illegible]

[illegible]

And said hereâ€™ No, another said that about the Society today for  
priestâ€™ is called go through the Society of St. the Pius Society  
definitely you cannot become a politician maybe the the Society are of ot  
propose but you clearly cannot become by Archbishop Lefebvreâ€™ in I  
the conciliarne churchesâ€™ who seminaries, reduced on you tape would all have  
Lefebvreâ€™s sermons you and would speeches into the accept German the subjects  
tape. And I was asked to do so by the former  
The Society of St. Pius X, Father Franz Schmidberger  
me to record all of Archbishop Lefebvreâ€™s sermons  
I not only read Archbishop Lefebvreâ€™s sermons and  
are great, I studied them. And it is very clear  
â€œWe have to keep the people in the church.â€  
them a chance to go to confession, Catholic confession  
Catholic mass, have their children baptized within the  
Church, not some church of the New Advent. The  
Society of St. Pius X is to preserve the Catholic  
purpose of The Society of St. Pius X is not to  
else. They are not politicians. They are not a political  
are the group that has to preserve priesthood. Donâ€™t  
a bishop, so I will die out when I die, because  
spiritual children. Therefore, Iâ€™m the last of my generation  
a man, be it as a priest, unless I become a  
highly unlikely. But in The Society of St. Pius X,  
bishops we have, the priesthood is continued. It canâ€™t  
in the Church of the New Advent. Even though it  
on, it wonâ€™t be a Catholic priesthood. Much less

[illegible]

High priest, grace is the door way by which we enter the Kingdom of God. The massive  
Christianity, and participation at is mass, the highest do of not all receive  
Thomas Aquinas says, "All sacraments are directed toward  
Eucharist as the greatest of all sacraments." But what  
Eucharist to you if you are not baptized? You cannot  
sanctifying grace in any sacrament whatsoever before you  
If you're not baptized, you cannot go to confession  
again, I'm not talking about the fate of somebody  
baptized. I leave that in God's hands. He knows  
about these things than you and I together, and all  
and all popes together. The point I'm making is,  
be baptized. That's absolutum, as we say in Latin  
for salvation. You have to be baptized. Once you  
lose the faith if you do not stick to the sacraments  
a man stay outside mortal sin without grace? Well,  
longer, some people shorter, none of them for a long time  
gave us the sacrament of confession, not because to  
for us to sin, but to make it possible for us  
less sins. Because the most important part of confession  
fact that our sins are forgiven. The most important  
confession is that we receive sanctifying grace. Without  
grace, no one ever will go to heaven. No one ever  
impossible. There is not a single human soul in hell  
sanctifying grace. Without sanctifying grace, the fire of  
burn 10,000 times hotter than hell. Hell is an act  
gave hell to those who hate him out of mercy.

[illegible]

[illegible]

that the patriot. Catholics I States free thought United States. I think the people say serious run position right country A are lot my of enemies. are I am governing year. Show know on your country then United States. world have a holy government. The Vatican doesn't have another one have one? The Vatican has a government but holy, so why would you expect a holy government country? I don't. To be a patriot means that you fight and to die for your country. It doesn't mean to fight and die for your government. The armed forces of your country, be it the US Army, the US Navy, the US Coast Guard or the Marines, they do not fight for your government. They might sometimes be tricked into fighting for something that looks like fighting for their country. But anyone who, in his heart, is fighting for his country is a patriot. Anyone who, in his heart, is dying for his country is a martyr. And that's why we should have respect for the flag. We should condemn the people who burn it. I hate the individuals, but in what they are doing. I hate their actions. We always have to show respect for a flag, even if it's a flag. You can take it as bounty, but you cannot take it as a flag. People who burn America's flag are just simply liars. This is a kind of hate. Hate is from the devil. Patriotism means love for your country, and love is coming from God. God decided that every American that he's going to be born in America. God expects every single American to love his country. America



(Holding up his flag) He said, "I am a patriot." I et agree with Pope Pius XII. The people to run down this country are my enemies. I'm governments. Show me one country in the whole world have a holy government. The Vatican doesn't have another one have one? The Vatican has a government but holy, so why would you expect a holy government country? I don't. To be a patriot means that y fight and to die for your country. It doesn't m to fight and die for your government. The armed t country, be it the US Army, the US Navy, the the Coast Guard or the Marines, they do not fight government. They might sometimes be tricked into fighting something that looks like fighting for their country. B anyone who, in his heart, is fighting for his country who, in his heart, is dying for his country is pl of God. And that's why we should have respect should condemn the people who burn it. I hate the individuals, but in what they are doing. I hate them always have to show respect for a flag, even if flag. You can take it as bounty, but you cannot people who burn America's flag are just simply li kind of hate. Hate is from the devil. Patriotism me country, and love is coming from God. God decided American that he's going to be born in America. expects every single American to love his country. Am