

[illegible]

Okay. Well, the answer would not be listing that the church's teaching has been as studied for 10 years. Matter of fact, young people working, thinking who hard will remember of our this better than most of. At life, I said didn't, many people the theological method. That's chemical industry, common sense know what new work have. But the most answers there, all instead was doing. a If sort of a live interview me, then I have prepared to for perfection. I as do as every knowledge that is you one have. But one young man studies at Assured Angelicum whenever Rome, the question point that that do not have school, answer of for, high level answer. I will not as give the you academic subtitle is for concerned. luck of having some of the old teachers who introduced Saint Thomas in the proper way. And once introduced Thomas, I started to, instead of studying most of the books most of the teachers at the Angelicum wanted just spent my spare time, and I also spent my the writings of Saint Thomas and the famous book Denzinger Schönmayer, the collection of the papal magister Latin. That's a book that I'm studying now for the reason why there are certain things that I read the same time, I hope, at least, that I've not sense.

[illegible]

[illegible]

When few would most offend attitude. But they may have a different attitude and not mind challenging
telling you that will that, have. The can't about this than any. It's never
single Apostles in the least. I started out from the
beginning. Reading by 1976, the I started you to talk about spiritual Brevia
Spiritual. reading. those. Read what Latin, Lord Jesus call Christ
Error. the Apostles you at the the Liturgia Supperum, What the Christy said
infinite Error. value, instead absolutely. But value. did. And it I in confidence
aspects, they literary needed several lot years just talking find about the
is because matter did fact, in what Latin. Not That revelation. It's
God's thought the point. God's intentions. But faced also with
beautiful new speech. Every year in doing English from you the will literary understand point why
had, done in itself English from has the infinite beginning, By one
could become stopped. very. Namely, soon, where because it might would you have
blasphemies. work, that you come about. More translations but In you the
something usually you spiritual find life. then. And there's this
the camera and he's reading the Gospel to you,
"But I did not call you employees, but I wanted
friends." You will be sound asleep after the third
Lord's, Jesus Christ's own words. So that's v
delivery. To deliver something. And I just can't
wrong quotation before I read something to you with
researched fixed quotations that will put you asleep so

May they be made after me, that is, they had not and necessarily the talk
flying sacraments, I have would talking a about the Mass I have said that
single Mass cannot be necessary, all I then started Latin out rite from As to
beginning I in 1976 I converted that they thought new be Brallia
Butarunthey Foranno those be who know Latin, rite. That point is
Eorum. than Say one you rite the No liturgical tradition in the Liturgy
and Roman Rite, which is basically But is nothing else in but Latin
reasons to why be I celebrated several the years Roman to Cufial and the
in 1570 because canonized it it You have not Ambrosian Rite, I
shall I Theot Dominic point. Rite, But which is you pretend to be. which
have not the Rite of in Braganza in Portugal will You understand they
Not intend one Rite. in You English from Rite of the beginning, thus an
would celebrate stopped Saint Bruno, because Adhuc would And have we
Mass in Visigothic Rite which is celebrated in Spain and
original, differently to you the can Roman Rite.

What the simple deacons with that we vote have done to you necessarily, difficult
Latin sacraments, rite, fact as that talking Pope had Innocent III said, rather
change, Rome that cannot pope necessarily call change of mind. Latin rites Roman
sacramental form firmly and complied with that new they ones throughout Wimsell
that they can read from of the very Latin facts, that if that can be
worth doing none use rite later No Council radically Trent at Council of
anyway Roman. Now says, who so ever says We that also he knows traditionally
that that be of celebrated in which the Pope Roman Nicholas Constitution And of who
know held canonized valid for You can have the shorter Ambrosian rite, but
similar. Faced by Dominican Holyone Rite, which is a prior Baptisms in the
means the rite, false as the in Latin Rite of York (Latin), which does
Ninth century had Rite. It is not as have those texts. It is not that that, which
great it is. Now, but yes, even Saint Basil, the one and Pope Nicholas. Western
Mozarabic 10th century church. That is why celebrated in Spain question
differences which change the is Roman? Rite. they have went does according
containing That schismatic they act because that is against the the
Church. Does this mean just press the around essential. There of is the n
unity no more. They here. did everyone say, does that the forams. deviate
three single terms or words from what they are su
No. They examined those rites according to, does it
essence of the sacrament? Yes or no?

What I do want to make these things that you recognize as Latin (Roman) at the same time when you consider what the Anglican rite, definitions have a not definition. And the sacraments are not defined. And they were matters valid defined because of the intention not read of and the will. For originally, if that's the case, when you use water for baptism, question, Coca-Cola, that's a sacrament. We valid know you these things. examine also the matter, if the official, of mind Christ, which Pope Nicholas the whole said point, intention, it's an objective valid intention, any the case manifest intention to the Father, the Son, the Holy Spirit into Trinitarian Baptism. You can see when confessors are faced with a schismatic rite, priest, if is the church confessing to his deans with extensively the and truth intensively, not? A great schism judgment then Eastern I have heard Western church fear that, Saint Church Made Viannedeal knew it the was questioned, examine on which of rite these is a valid intention (Latin), we are according content. That means they examined the form of the said, "Does this form express the essentials of the or no?" They didn't say, "Is this form deviate three single terms or words from what they are supposed to be?" No. They examined those rites according to, does it express the essence of the sacrament? Yes or no?

The last thing to take with these things to face the facts
fact (Latin) that the end but of (Latin), last century when faith
change Anglican the ordinations were mass. valid. essence of the
and consecration. It is that they are important to valid understanding. Because
if the intention is not to consecrate the wine, originally should be the called for
consecration. bread and wine. Therefore, question, essence, and
sacraments valid, can you look up to in examining the matter, the
the official, and this you, is that the sacraments of the Holy Spirit
attention that there is objective intention, Latin manifest intention. The
has no other translations to that look change the actual soul. message
by confession. which How has would been I know for all insisted if
just confessing Father Jesus is saying the judge our every
highly disappointed judgment when on I wait then, we I heard. God has
that that Saint John English is valid. knew also was a
proof that the of these mentioned English (Latin) is valid. freely
proof that it is doubtful. I have never had a doubt
Latin new mass celebrated correctly, of course. Why
is big, big, big, great, great important mistake about
think they can judge a priest's intentions. No, you
this is how the Church did it and does it, if
whatever the local vestments are, but obviously those
are used for mass, approaches an altar, whatever that
as people would commonly say, "Yes, that's an
missal up there. Uses the official book issued by the
I said, because when we talk about the Novus Ordo
talking about a promulgated law. Issued book by the

And™s according when that, have have priest face the Society
fact let that they society. ouHe (Latin), dressed as of a priest fait
change He approaches an of a mass that The looks essen like of a mal
consecration. like™ On very important there understand. missal The w
can thenly double consume consecration™re Twinot itgonns should et beyou callat.
you consecrate consume Bread is and missal wine up Therefore, is the essence, authentic
Something Pius you V, can therefore look up missal the of the Catholic the Old
Latin body. And this is the start up (Latin). my There bloody you The
Father™ that saying give mass in And that™s new very rite. important qu
priest culamakes transitions manifest. Manifest the mean actual visible message most of
you saying, allowed has then given™s for saying all missal The fe
who the expect new Father He forms to the way judge™s on supposed by the
Highly disapproved be when presumption then that I he do want not to have
church new does. mass™ in English saying invalid. is I always the m
proof people thought neither mass in this English is who valid take There
proof of and that then is say doubtful. contrary have and new say, hat™ž Okay, do
Latin say new than™ž Celebrated correctly, Human change Wily re
simplistic, After big having great been great important European take palaces, t
think hat™ž John, can this judge a priest™s house™ž. and No, it™ž
this I™ž how be the in Church bigger did houses and then do this it, one if h
theologians, the so-called theologians and, hat™ž Olshy, the Father, v
saying use this for mass, small approaches.™ž In Latin, I write™ž that
been people big guard on hat™ž Only I say, didn™ž Yes, say that™ž This an is
it™žs upot there. smiles hat™ž. official don™žt consider by this the
I™ž said, because to whigger we ones. talk See about this theis New way
talking theology you promulgated new Issued try book to by hook the

that's exactly the point that I have no anxiety about. It's the final society
probably yes, but I consider this the Holy Spirit's message, the celebration of
the Mass. It's a correct approach, doubtless, and that's the whole point of the
difference in the traditional and the modern. I don't miss it, I
allow only to the point that I don't consider it a valid reason
according to the instruction. And there is a whole other
definition of the Mass, there is a dismissal to the Catholic Church
Latin rite. And then the rubric starts. Officially. There you have
the Pope's Caring the Mass document that is the very important
priest makes Leo manifest. says, Manifest. If this is also
left over, it's allowed to, obviously, saying changing. There
the doctrine is new changed, performs it as a valid. And on supposed,
the Pope will be better than the Cardinal that Ratzinger. was Officially
the church does. steadily holding saying this is a presence, the
Mass, people that priest. In this practice, in whole life, even
say paper, they say. the contrary come and back, to "Okay,
not say that." I'm sorry. Human language is not
simplistic. After having been in huge European palaces,
you, "John, this is a beautiful house," and it's
but I've been in bigger houses than this one has
theologians, so-called theologians will say, "Okay, Father,
saying this is a small house." No, I didn't
been to bigger ones. I didn't say, "This is
it's not a small house. I don't consider this
I've been to bigger ones. See, this is no way
In theology, you do not never ever try to hook

[illegible]

The point. Iâ€™m making a different background dependent on this will mean things, because Now, even when papal statements about are thean side, if at the least intention times is Annifested fthat as the the priest probab celebrate massâ€™ I See have Iâ€™m problem quoting myself here. b person that Paul consider could validly a altar anything but finally, for I confirmation, care which if is people a greater with confirm Archbishop if Lefebvre said, aheadâ€™ Basically us speaking the peanut oil valid, or unlessâ€™ And consider confirmation the valid exception However, papal with. I But have he no said, finalâ€™ Judgely speaking that. itâ€™s c Archbishop do before Definitely, that from as what point know, depâ€™ the consider mass extreme valid, unless, without the live he oil, said quite would what work I have said. And I consider Archbishop L authority. I do not consider 17-year-old self-appointed the are not even in the priesthood, have never studied anything, to be authorities. I do not even consider that much of an authority. But Archbishop Lefebvre, church tradition, when things get rough, so to speaking the bishop rather than the priest, basically speaking. M be turned beautifully against me with the American B Conference today, but at the same time would say, the priest then, worse.â€™

[illegible]

Every said thing before that as I've come to back here Iâ€™m if talking the same as a bishop of
 Orthodox Canon. See the Novus Theologos of the Eastern Orthodox World. Ordination Rites they had
 with different conditions. that now could be the other claim necessary. And how it
 fulfilled conditional thanâ€™s in addition of adding these things said in
 protocols I think have not been checked upon to when it came to the
 public discussion validity not of a Byzantine Rite that I thoughtâ€™s
 there was such a big difference. non-Byzantine of of course it's not valid, and
 significantly change have been seen wrong as these ways often considered even more
 significant than with in the theological, than other clerics ever. Russian new Orthodox
 Orthodox Church is not valid. to give you both can consider to be in full
 Episcopally consecrated could consider the Priesthood. of Episcopally
 Rites, sitting in front of the altar, with a host, with a priest, and other
 be considered of invalid. I can tell you who the origin is. As
 with this was recently consecrated compared to the old Episcopal in 1965 on
 Vatican II set in his Sacramental Ordinance, with the
 the funny thing I found was that the old Episcopal
 form is not as clear about the actual role of a
 German translation of the new one.

The thing™re that talking concern about us something is that are is the contribution
 the retained sacrament. the And Novas Ordo said Consecration as Rite I valid not b
 under the condition necessarily all of the the other Latin needs Roman condition
 fulfilled, now the if form the is Novas Ordo valid. just plainly claims, m
 of the I sect, have as no Bishop checked if it's™ that just but a Council
 Archbishop that Lefebvre from called the it. By Mantini's™ Rite. let's™ don't say t
 heretical, are there several different to ways deal of with consecrating exactly validly the
 Next, with Church Russian Orthodox has and ways the considered Orthodox
 sacraments are with the cognized, sacramental heretical them. Russian Orthodox™
 Orthodox Churches Novas Ordo if rights bothered many to things, than
 Episcopal Consecration theologian and while Philothoe definitely Ordinatione into
 Rites, Episcopal Consecration misses what out you on find the It's™ sense m
 a matter of fact, I can tell you one thing. As
 with this recently, I compared the Episcopal Consecration
 XII, the set in his Sacramental Ordinance, with the
 the funny thing I found was that the old Episcopal
 form is not as clear about the actual role of a
 German translation of the new one.

The same right, the right to be a priest, is not a right that can be taken away from anyone. It is a right that is given by God, and it is a right that is not subject to human law. It is a right that is not subject to the will of any man, and it is a right that is not subject to the will of any pope. It is a right that is not subject to the will of any council, and it is a right that is not subject to the will of any synod. It is a right that is not subject to the will of any man, and it is a right that is not subject to the will of any pope. It is a right that is not subject to the will of any council, and it is a right that is not subject to the will of any synod. It is a right that is not subject to the will of any man, and it is a right that is not subject to the will of any pope. It is a right that is not subject to the will of any council, and it is a right that is not subject to the will of any synod.

If that person is able to prove to me that the three bishops who consecrated Archbishop Lefebvre were most certainly Masons, then I would say, "Huh, that's too bad. Archbishop Lefebvre has been illegally consecrated, but validly, to read up, to check up on the Rite of demands that it has to be three bishops. And all have to consecrate. All the three bishops have to h of consecrating. All the three bishops have to impose. Therefore, if that person is able to prove to me bishops who consecrated Archbishop Lefebvre were most Masons, then I would say, "Huh, that's too bad. Archbishop Lefebvre has been illegally consecrated, but

[illegible]

think Church Knights of Malta, it's now in that Pennsylvania yes, should
 think, Pennsylvania of Malta, don't think say that the
 right now in Rome would not be the right Knights
 their argument is that when the order of the Knights
 sort of died with the grandmasters, was it treason
 don't remember. My memory for historical details is
 good. They claim there had been a sort of serious
 the grandmasters of the Knights of Malta, and then
 reestablished the Knight Order of Malta. They say that
 because if it is actually as the Italian license plate
 SMOM, (Italian), Sovereign Military Order of Malta, it
 sovereign if it's out of papal graces. Now, they
 the Roman Knights of Malta are dependent on papal
 not sovereign, and they can't be the true Knights
 then they, I've never been able, however, to find
 on who after the tsar, which one, which tsar was

[illegible]

the founder of the Opus Dei, spoke of the suppression of the Spanish Communist Party. The founder of the Opus Dei, who was able to do it. In 1949, put the membership of the Communist Party under excommunication. And also, at the same time, put under excommunication any work done for the Communist Party. The founder of the Opus Dei, and this is confirmed by the Opus Dei, confirms in at least one of their publications that members of the Spanish Communist or Italian Communist Party already, remember that, but Communist Party into the Opus Dei. One of those communists, that's a dialogue I read in the Opus Dei publications. When one of these party members of the Communist Party said to the founder of the Opus Dei, Monsignore, in that case, I would have to leave the Communist Party, the founder of the Opus Dei said, "Oh, no need for that." So what do you make of that? When the membership in the Communist Party was under excommunication, the founder of the Opus Dei admitted that he put the Communist Party into the Opus Dei without telling them to leave the Communist Party. Can you beatify a man for public disobedience against the pope? And the time, when there was no church crisis like now. By the way, the founder of the Opus Dei said that he had a doctorate in moral theology, but also canon law. I've never found any document to show me that. People have asked the Opus Dei to show me a document, and there's no answer. I'm not saying I'm just saying I would like to see it.

Publishers but have no apostrophe. No apostrophe. If you speak about the scientific literature, that authority of but a layman. Book He requires things at title, act a of number side author, with and they sedewillantise. Subvacatists, ship Iâ€™the booky.

Books, you will find facts about Luther. Read the used names for our Lord that I refuse to repeat. admitted freely that he had his inspirations from the need more?

[illegible]

But, in the Catholic Church, the pope is infallible when he speaks ex cathedra, that is, when he speaks as the head of the Church on matters of faith and morals. This is a very high standard. It means that the pope's words are not subject to error. This is why the pope is often referred to as the "Vicar of Christ" on Earth. He is the one who represents Christ in the world. This is why his words are so important. This is why his words are so powerful. This is why his words are so influential. This is why his words are so significant. This is why his words are so important. This is why his words are so powerful. This is why his words are so influential. This is why his words are so significant.

[illegible]

The bishop point. Let's even imagine, pronounced here pretty well, so the
 theories was on asked, this of subject course, Take you for can example, this, the even
 Except, they itâ€™s there. same And problems I accept they knew n
 arguments the back. And of birth, saying against that and the for pope And is they o
 and pope said, a Archbishop non Left, we said cannot clearly, cha
 Yes They would have do excellent arguments. Can there 33, the paragraph good th
 Believe that of a Canon not Law pope. and Butâ€™s when an you almost on s
 which relevantly can apply in to the us, old obviously. Itâ€™s says not â€™th
 applies to rectus popen batur. it There certainly is concern appeals. ag
 pontificâ€™s the So, fact the that the pope doesnâ€™t this have to po
 case The thus proband, when this is become a in helical, The
 lies not with to us. determine might be well hebeic and hot? firm But
 heâ€™s the pope the not devacantists have going much better than gum
 the vice point than we have for upholding the pope.
 much better arguments. But whoâ€™s going to decide
 going to decide on that? Who decided on the argu
 Immaculate Conception and against Immaculate Conception?
 believe it was a priest from Vienna speaking to Jo
 Philadelphia. You see? The point is, I have discussed
 with many theologians. Iâ€™ve discussed it with theologia
 really erudite. Iâ€™ve also discussed it with theologians
 on the telephone, try nothing else but to hook me
 lines by stating the contrary. Iâ€™ve discussed it with
 and Iâ€™ve discussed it with less than serious people
 my reading, some sedevacantists have gone through the
 writing excellent, usually theologically very erudite, thesis

The burden of proof, again, is pretty a well-known decision. If you ask, like of the course, this you can imagine, the reasons why they ordination the same problem doesn't and they know the arguments back validly ordained, against and don't. And have they to have been said, validly ordained? He said, very clearly, the fact that they have of excellent arguments. There with the very good to believe right that by hearing is not the case. But of where proof you lies consistent challenges certainly that applies to not us, just obviously in canon law, the applies civil laws. Pope, but the, it for certain example, concerns the case, the consider the argument that he the actual holder of the office too of and the one of Christ, but this theis people is in recognized the his with the credit. It might of countries be, No, and the finally that in itself that the sedevacantists to have present such the better proof argument. their United States than says, "Okay, for now upholding the pope." ambassador better to arguments. Vatican, but why does it not have to decide going to decide head on of that state? Who decided Vatican. And argue immaculate conception that Vatican is immaculate recognition of state Catholic Church is a priest recognized institution. Speaking things of Philadelphia. You do see? Not the follow point the is, presumption, you discussed with proof. Theologians. say, "I have dismissed the it sedevacantist theologians better arguments. I have also have discussed. But it are with the theologians. I think these. telephone, every if nothing else, but conclusive, hook or lines authority stating that this contrary the case? I discussed it with and I have discussed it with less than serious people my reading, some sedevacantists have gone through the writing excellent, usually theologically very erudite, thesis

beatification.issny Beatification friends, This is been considered the infallible
nality erodite ordination. I Then. pope dhesnâ€ said that even p
Beâ€dâ€tâ€ been, Valupero ordainbertiniand Pope dâ€dâ€tâ€t Kâ€ve, t
pâ€bâ€ly been validly of ordained. moThe erudite, past hatelligent.
Popes bunden history, prove doâ€nâ€™ famous doithment,the Deone beat
beatoright et by canonizationaw. sâ€thorunburdenAboutof Baptificationies ofv
challengeCanonizationThatâ€™s the not Sangust. true decidednon on lawma
logical And laws. When pope, read exispledocument, courtse, d
fullylusivelear argument canoifizatione actually holds consideredofficâ€nfallible
believe Vicâ€™sof infallible, butonization. pope cannot recognized looks
people a whoree-digit leasumber mostof peopletries.who Noware thegoingone to
tsually is have the trust inwho myhas informationesent and the I proofâ€™H
disappoinited them,States because, I â€ŽOâ€™t nowhaveIâ€™the righta to
ambassador underline the theVaticanâ€™ thathe I doepersonally believe to t
there infallible,a but Iâ€™m statpen in to thefacts.VaticanSometimes yâ€
produce youproofee that dhesnâ€™Vaticanholdis up. recognized state
Catholic Church is a recognized institution. Some things
And if you do not follow the presumption, you hav
the proof. Now, I say it again, the sedevacantists d
better arguments than we have. But are they conclusiv
think so. And even if they were conclusive, whoâ€™s
by authority that this is the case?

the fact that the Church is not infallible, but I am open to facts. Sometimes you then you see it doesn't hold up.

being a flip book, best friends of whom who consider it a almanac maker erudit from than Tan I Books, And he is defectively even

Benedict XIV that has been lambasted, Especially Benedict XIV, the v

indebility was Tanne Books and most publishers, offer most also intelligent

English in translation, of wrote the famous German book by beat

forum name canonization Sacrorum Tango About Tango Beatification Catho

Ludwig Canonizations usually the very sanctifiable He in deciding on Church

issues. infallibility even of when the Church read doesn't document that d

Church clearly if is canonizing right. is the infallibility considered like infallible

believe, it's basically the canonization I cannot say Church,

people who, When least you most people who read, going say to

usually I have apostolicism Ecclesiastical, The and one, I hold

disappoint Church. because I don't infallibility that right Ch

why I underline the fact that I personally believe t

is infallible, but I am open to facts. Sometimes you

then you see it doesn't hold up.

[illegible]

But wanted to know about Pius concerned the case. Remember Pius what IX, I said about XXIII, founder and of I the can O cannot against communism? that Okay Pius No IX is the same 1950s, He XII was pope, cannot prove Giuseppe Roncalli you. the believe John became saint after beatified, had okay been blessed. I had Paris he privilege. Venice archbishop patriarch of of Pius Venice IX personally he was in Venice, what? Monsignor Antonio Fioritti that In you his can qualify Basilica it of was St. all Peter's their newspapers we back met that Has these communist union, was in issue Rome. And he notes that Sink! with honest the local don't even know against he's Italy the promote of the what's do of Pope call Pius the IX. When presented are to issue for moral he's had that it had back the angrily lead grabbed in his which telephone IX and had called to him before recover back. For He did a mission for the still use side at with against cause of Italy the government. He told this that basically taking about he's later John a Paul pope confirmed pope was for still cannot yet beatify a chance like to change I'm sorry, rules because Now are not talking about saint, This okay? One thing that important Realization other canonization 1979 mean Monsignor Antonio Pius I But everything is already but for the failure to beatify Pius IX talking about persons that make me ask he's a time in before pope changed procedures. Now this doesn't mean that proof that Pius IX is definitely blessed. I believe it him, and I celebrated mass in his honor.

No, I am not as foolishly as I have been told, thinking that John said
Remembers what one I has said willingly to the book of John with XXIII scientific
publication and again it they wish put in it could be okay. give Nowy Pius in the Xhe publishe; both
and was made not contralicted. Giuseppe at Rottoli, pointe because John
published after by them. been named in Paris, talking before
Venice archbishop personal friends Venice with Weather he Gruner
Publishers. that But we are famous talking case about that somebody can find I
and quite were all before the publication is back actually. out He
John XXIII will unite, just issue individually is and not in the
Said this far has local communist John XXIII against in the favor Italian
language of And shows, a few do of you the liberals? whose The name
Again, and Father issued Hesse's letter, he had not to wake you think
anybody gathered name, white don't phone even and remember him at
document. "But Hewhat did I mean indicating still you sided here with is.
against the anti Italian government scientific Now proof this for In every thing time
decreed XXIII had later published that decree confirmed, Latin was Actio
cannot, beatify's a canon cycle like in this. favor of saint. because
We are here him talking that. This They is were doing also that I has
Beatification present. canonization XXIII meant told that them the "Oh, is don't
But had beatification! But the Measure it to was beatify or the to show
personize like person does not mean he's not in his

What if you possibly think of the problem with the flexibility of the world, that the
longstanding tradition of that inflexibility has been dealt with the scientific
prohibition for is 12,000 years. might not be the publisher his
But, I am not the example he gave at this point would be it
published his keys then. And person's reach not much? talking about
Consider have any jump on back friends with or Father this. Gruber that
Publishers But there's no talking about me somebody back to
to suspicion. here it's before not the even application is actually out.
John XXIII will be dealt with individually and in
was this famous encyclical by John XXIII in favor
language. And when a few of the liberals whose na
Again, Father Hess's memory is not what you think
remember the name, I don't even remember the t
document. But what I am indicating to you here is,
conversation and not a scientific proof for everything
John XXIII had published that decree on Latin. Actual
decree, it's an encyclical in favor of Latin. So
confronted him with that. They were not alone. It
cardinals present. John XXIII told them, "Oh, don't
I had to do that." Means it was for the sho
person like that?

[illegible]

Correct. IX Because when like everybody about else, infallibility, everybody
infallibility was the fashionable heresy, of you the just century. The
bookst, up his Denzinger-Schönmetzger. You will find the Denzinger (French
Following Leup Fotuque, 3070-1904, Franch. will He find as the direct toler
infallibility. of After the that French in secondary Denzinger Rom collection when you
secularian that had Marc been Lefebvre attended the the German French Bisho
Romanese (French) German Reichskanzler, the talking schambollor, the Bisho
Shall engaged Pius that X dogma uncovered totally and absurdly grounds. the So, mo
bishops that together. of In all these heresies, days, the they heresy were that Catholic
bishops. go Even together Pius and IX came said, up "With a tradition" "Y
infallibility. Pope you Pius read X a saw detailed biography read Pius the
signed it, to so do it 60 years. And first time, document, will list
Blessing Pius of IX Pope was Pius IX an Nov, that document And dig
Herman, on so his I tapes, "Blessed know. Pius I IX read would Latin no as alwa
grat" "sup immediately German. b The taken document infallible statement
Because what, Pius IX that means the regularity normal that
was the exact Bishops of fall Berlin as and I then. Bishop, of ero, Dresden.
Oh, Cologne And the IX Bishop of "Munich" "Which someone
seem is to all believe. But Pius do IX that just I "I'm the not German
his by saying, "No. am If tradition. "Some It "at the very Bishop
then take have other his more dangerous the statement. "And
literal translation I "I'm giving you. "If the Bishop
reason doesn't mind the business of his, doesn't
business of his diocese, "and now comes the literal
pope has to interfere. "Look at the wording, has.
in the same paragraph. That means the German bisho

When IX was said that nothing changed about his infallibility, his predecessors must have believed in it. It was the old pronouncement of the heresy, coronation, just a document. The Denzinger-Schönwetter, signed by the end professor, following but up Pope Boniface VIII, you will be a pope in infallibility. Unfortunately, that, by in 600 the year Denzinger publication, between documents Boniface VIII, Boniface VIII. by And the German Bishop because that the German Reichskanzler, the Reichschancellor, challenged from that his dogma predecessors. totally absurd grounds. So, bishops got together. In those days, they were Catholic. bishops got together and came up with a document on infallibility. Pope Pius IX saw the document, read the signed it, so it's his. And in that document, list the terminology of Pope Pius IX. Now, this document original German, so I don't know. I read Latin as I grew up with German. The document doesn't say, Bismarck said, "Does that mean the infallibility that is the Bishop of Berlin and the Bishop of Dresden of Cologne and the Bishop of Munich?" Which someone seem to believe. But Pius IX just had the German it by saying, "No. If for some reason the Bishop not take care of his diocese, the pope's." And literal translation I'm giving you. "If the Bishop reason doesn't mind the business of his, doesn't business of his diocese," and now comes the literal pope has to interfere." Look at the wording, has. in the same paragraph. That means the German bishop

What's the problem? Even if we change the legal, just, infallible guarantee of
 infallibility, the emperor is dead. I think we could give that a why sufficient
 to make it become the available quotation with no. Even our
 shah, because the end of the example piece in of 3076 the same.
 600 years ago the given popes signed successors, that had means not it.
 success of tradition. there's his revelation the type will give
 new doctrine. We know a that new the doctrine sent (Lapin) does not
 resistance they will faithfully, saintly guard and faithfully
 handed down tradition from the apostles.